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THE PROCEEDI NGS COMMENCED ON THE 11th OF JUNE 2018 AS FOLLOVG:

CATHAO RLEACH Good afternoon, Members, you are al1 very welcome. Before we hit the Agenda proper there are some votes of sympathy. Councillor Lawless.

COUNCI LLOR LAVLESS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Yeah, we would like to give our votes of sympathy to Justine Valdez family, Bobby Messett and also Vincent Kelly. We have had three very sad tragedies here in county Wicklow over the last month. So, we would like to send our condolences to those families. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Fox. COUNCI LLOR FOX: Thanks, Chairman, yes I would like to be associated with Councillor Lawless's vote of sympathy. Three terrible tragedies in Bray district. I knew Bobby Messett particularly we11, having played football with him. And he was a really good fella, good solid fella. Big into his fitness, enjoyed sport, 14:05 music and huge attendance at his funeral in Kilmac on Friday was a testament to what people thought of Bobby. And I would like to pass on our sympathies to his family, his two brothers and sister, nephews and nieces, grandchildren, his two sons and daughter. And the whole community is still in a state of shock with the way the tragedy unfolded. And I would just like to put it on record my feelings towards the Messett family. Thanks, Chairman.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Dunne.
COUNCI LLOR DUNE: Thank you, Chairman. I would 1ike to propose a vote of sympathy for Charlie Byrne of Wicklow town who died recently. Charlie was well known in the town and also father of colleague Helen Purcell here and so I would like to propose a vote of sympathy for Charlie.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor O'Neill.
COUNCI LLOR O NEI LL: Yeah, I would like to propose a vote of sympathy for Rick Balfe who died four weeks ago 14:06 and he worked for wicklow County Council for many years. In the '70's and '80's he was a familiar site on the main street on a horse and cart cleaning the streets. I would like to propose a vote of sympathy for him.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Ryan.
COUNCI LLOR RYAN Yeah, I would just also like to be associated with the Sinn Fein proposal of sympathy. It has been a few dark weeks in Bray, very very sad times to all the people involved and their families and
friends.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Thornhil1.
COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. I also would like to be associated with the remarks Justine Valdez and Bobby Messett. I was at the 14:07 funeral last Friday and it was palpable by the congregation that attended that he was very well loved and that the community held him in very high esteem. I think our sympathies and everything should go to his
family, go raibh mile maith agat.
M. GALLAGER: Yes, Cathaoirleach, thank you and also for Ms. Marian wright, mother of Catherine wright and mother-in-7aw of our colleague Terry Hughes. And also for Ned Dempsey, father of our colleague Lily o'brien. CATHAO RLEACH A minute's silence.

MR. CURRAN May their souls and the souls of all the faithfully departed rest in peace.
COUNCI LLORS: Rest in peace.
CATHAO RLEACH Before we deal with Item 1, I have
three requests for suspension of standing orders. Councillor Matthews, I think you were the first request.
COUNCI LLOR MATTHEVS: Yes, thanks Cathaoirleach. Cathaoirleach, this is in relation to North wicklow Educate Together Secondary School, in July 2017 a site for that school was announced. And it appears since then very little communication from the Department to Educate Together or the North Wicklow Educate Together. And obviously this raises concerns and worries for, not 14:09 just for students and staff who are currently in the school which is in temporary accommodation at the moment. But also the prospective students and their parents who are considering enrolling in the school.

So, simply I just want to put down a resolution that we would write to the Department of Education and Schools and request that they would engage and communicate and pass on whatever information that they have to North

Wicklow Educate Together on progress and a likely timeline for the delivery of that school.
CATHAO RLEACH We will deal with that resolution at a quarter to five then. A seconder?
COUNCI LLOR O CONNOR: I will second it.
CATHAO RLEACH Agree to discuss it then?
COUNCI LLORS: Yeah.
COUNCI LLOR MATTHEVG: Thanks Cathaoirleach. CATHAO RLEACH Councillor MCDonald. COUNCI LLOR MCDONALD: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I
would just like to call for a suspension of standing orders to highlight what is happening around the North Beach area in Arklow regarding closure of some rights of ways and alleged unauthorised development in the area.

Just really to ask the Council or Council management what their proposals are to address - they do know about the situation. It is rather serious at the moment and we don't seem to be making any headway. I would like to get some feedback from the management to see what state they are at.
CATHAO RLEACH Thank you. Councillor Fitzgerald. COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD: Agreed. CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Thornhil1 you had a request. 14:11 COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: Yeah. Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. Council, Cathaoirleach, I would like to see standing orders suspended. I would like to see discussed would be about the Bray Boxing Club and the
fallout in relation to it. I think this is a very serious matter and it is something that we as wicklow County Council should take on board because this is something that is so serious that, I mean, what happened last Tuesday, you know like, it was completely 14:11 out of proportion and is something could turn into a real massacre. I mean, look at Dunblane and all these other places in the States.
COUNCI LLOR BALFE: Chairman, Chairman, Chairman, Chairman, I don't think we should be even discussing this now. Do you know what I mean? There is a garda investigation going on, there has been a man that has been murdered.

COUNCI LLOR RYAN Absolutely. COUNCI LLOR BALFE: And really and truly like it is not appropriate, it is absolutely not appropriate to be discussing this in any shape or form at this particular time.

CATHAO RLEACH Council1or Thornhi11, do you want say anything more?
COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: Cathaoirleach, this has nothing to do and notwithstanding everything that happened on that day but what I am trying to high1ight is the running of the club. And we, as wicklow County Council, are central to the matter because all this happened on our property. And it has nothing to do with the investigation and the garda investigation, it is primarily to do with the running of the club and the way it has been run and that is what I wanted to
highlight it.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor, could you give the exact wording of your proposal.
COUNCI LLOR BALFE: He mentioned the word "massacre" if I was hearing right. That has got nothing got to do with the running of it.

CATHAOI RLEACH Just give me the exact wording of what you want the suspension to discuss maybe, read out what you sent earlier.
COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: Yeah, I just want to discuss about the running of the club, about the lease. About what the Wicklow County Council they had been asked in the past what was going on, that there were suppose to be people trained in the club.
CATHAO RLEACH I just want to stop you there, could you just maybe define maybe in one sentence what you want to discuss?

COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: I want to discuss just about the club and the way it was being run because it was supposed to be run as a community club. And now we have had this where there is one person dead now as a result.

CATHAO RLEACH Just to be clear you want to suspend standing orders to discuss how the boxing club in Bray is being run, is that what you are saying?
COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: That is correct and anything else pertaining to the club in relation to Wicklow County Council.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Walsh?

COUNCI LLOR WALSH I would just like to say that in this instance, Cathaoirleach, I think it would be totally unadvisable to enter into a debate on this issue at this point in time. It is a sensitive issue we all know what happened down there and a young man lost his life tragically.

I think we need time now, the garda investigation needs to take its course and I understand there is going to be a review by this Council into that building and the use of that building going forward. So, I would urge that this matter not be debated in this chamber today, thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Nolan.
COUNCI LLOR NOLAN Thank you Cathaoirleach. As has been mentioned by previous speakers there is a live and ongoing garda investigation into the tragic incident that happened in Bray. I believe that while this matter does need to be discussed as it is run by the County Council today is not the day it should be discussed. There is a live and ongoing garda investigation as $I$ have said. To discuss it in an open chamber could in someway prejudice the garda investigation. I think I'd speak for everyone here when we want to see the perpetrators brought to justice. And I don't think we should in anyway prohibit or inhibit the gardai from conducting a full and thorough investigation by discussing such a matter here in an open chamber there is every chance we would
do that.

So, I would be totally opposed to having any discussion relating to Bray Boxing Club to be held in this chamber while the investigation is ongoing.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Ryan?
COUNCI LLOR RYAN Yeah, Cathaoirleach. I am absolutely astonished that somebody would actually bring this up and specifically mention "massacre" and the like. And it just beggars belief, the lack of sensitivity to the people who were involved in that is just breathtaking. And considering there is a current live garda investigation knowing the proposal is coming from somebody who used to be a member of the force, really I do not believe what I am hearing.

CATHAOI RLEACH Council1or O'Connor.
COUNCI LLOR O CONNOR: First of all I have to say that I believe Councillor Thornhill's heart is in the right place in calling for this debate. I do think it is possible to have a discussion in this matter without impacting upon the garda investigation. Needless to say that is the last thing that anyone including Councillor Thornhill wants.

However, having said that, $I$ think this might be a matter that would be better placed and discussed in a couple of months down the road because of the sensitivities. I don't think it is at the moment but we do need to have that conversation eventually.

CATHAO RLEACH Council1or Thornhi11.
COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: We11 Cathaoirleach, I do understand where people are coming from but I have no interest, whatsoever, in as far as the investigation is concerned, I do understand where people are coming from 14:16 there. I am only just solely want to find out just about the club and about the way it was being run and did Wicklow County Council, were they ever approached by other people in relation to this club and people that were using the club? Because things have happened in the meantime and you know, I mean, people are coming up to me and asking me about the club and people are afraid.

I mean, you know, I mean there were 20 people in that club. You know, I mean the amount of people that could have been injured or murdered or whichever, you know. So I think it is a serious matter and it should be taken into account. I mean after a11, 12 years ago, 12 years ago remember this Wicklow County Council was involved with a fire disaster, Chairman. COUNCI LLORS: Ah come on, this is crazy. Chairman, Chairman.

CATHAO RLEACH Just to move it on. Is there a seconder for Councillor Thornhill's proposal? Councillor O'Neill are you a seconder?

COUNCI LLOR O NEI LL: Yes, just through the Chair, I totally understand both sides of this, you know. I am a member of Irish Amateur Boxing Association, $I$ have a
club in the county. And a few councillors have mentioned boxing club, you know. You know, it is clear that the incidents over the past couple of months in Ireland where it might happen, it has absolutely nothing whatsoever do with boxing clubs. I resent any councillor in here using the term "boxing club". It was different activities within the, you know, within clubs. But the Irish amateur - I mean wicklow alone here, we have a proud record. we have two European champions in a wonderful club in Enniskerry -CATHAO RLEACH Are we going to suspend the standing order.

COUNCI LLOR O NEI LL: -- we have Arklow and the whole lot. I just resent --
CATHAO RLEACH You made your point well. Do you want 14:18 to second Councillor Thornhil1's proposal?
COUNCI LLOR O NEI LL: In fairness, Cathaoirleach, you didn't interrupt Pat balfe when he interrupted.
CATHAO RLEACH Come on, Gerry come on now.
COUNCI LLOR O NEILL: They are two separate issues. I
would suggest that maybe all this, I agree with Councillor o'Connor there that they be discussed down the road.

CATHAO RLEACH Thanks, is there any seconder for Councillor Thornhill's proposal? Okay, there isn't, so 14:19 it falls.

COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: Cathaoirleach --
COUNCI LLORS: No, come on. You have had your say. COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: Cathaoirleach, I would just like
to say as a public representative, I have a right to speak on the matter in any case. But I would just like to put it on the record that in the future that this club should be investigated, go raibh maith agat.
COUNCI LLOR: Chairman, just on that, I don't think anyone around this chamber has a problem with having a discussion on this at the appropriate time and I am certainly one of them as well. I will certainly welcome that discussion at the appropriate time. Now is not the appropriate time for this whatsoever.
CATHAO RLEACH okay, Item 1 . To confirm and sign Minutes of ordinary meeting wicklow County Council held on Monday 14th of may 2018.
M. GALLAGER: Seconded Councillor Bourke. Agreed, is that agreed?

COUNCI LLORS: Agreed
CATHAO RLEACH Item 2. Consider the disposal of 1and comprising leasehold folio No. 2710L - Co. Wicklow being 38 Beechwood Park, Kilcoole, co. Wicklow under Sinead Connolly 38 Beechwood Park, Kilcoole, co. wicklow.
M. GALLAGER: Seconded? Councillor Lawless. Agreed? COUNCI LLORS: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 3. Consider the disposal of . 193 acres and 0.0781 hectares or thereabouts of land in the town land of Kilmacanogue, Co. Wicklow Denis Smith and veronica Smith, "Gleann Aluine" Kilmacanogue, county wicklow. Proposed Councillor Fox.
COUNCI LLOR FOX: Can I just make a quick comment?

CATHAO RLEACH Sure.
COUNCI LLOR FOX: First of a11, can I thank the officials for finally getting to the end of this. This case has gone on for a long number of years and I am aware that there is quite a lot of historical legal matters to be sorted out in the law section here. And, you know, some of these items are taking 10, 11, 12 years to sort out. This is an overhang from the N11 upgrade through Kilmacanogue, which we all know now is probably ten years now at this stage.

Can I ask have we sufficient resources within our law section to, you know, it is unfair on people to be waiting such a length of time to get their affairs sorted out. And I know that the law section are dealing with matters as quick as they possibly can. But have we put enough resources, human resources, into the law section to get these matters sorted out more speedily? Thanks, Chairman.
MR. CURRAN Cathaoirleach, there is a backlog. And we 14:22 have an internal land management team that is looking at all these issues. But registrations, in particular, there is a backlog registration. So what we are looking at is taking on a contract solicitor for maybe two years and possibly work with somebody technical with the fact of registrations. COUNCI LLOR FOX: I think that is positive. Thank you, Chairman.

CATHAOI RLEACH Can I have seconder?
ME. GALLAGER: Councillor Matthew. Is that agreed? COUNCI LLORS: Agreed. Item 4, to consider the disposal of the freehold interest in the property known as 30 wolfe Tone Square South Bray, county wicklow, to John O'Sullivan, personal representative.

COUNCI LLOR: I propose that.
M5. GALLAGER: Seconded Councillor Fox. Is that agreed?
COUNCI LLORS: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 5. To consider the disposal of freehold interest at the property 35 Fernhil1, Arklow, county wicklow, Richard watters and Geraldine watters.
COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD: I propose that.
MS. GALLAGER: Councillor Fitzgerald. COUNCI LLOR MRPHY: I'11 second it. Mb. GALLAGER: Seconded Councilior Murphy. Is that agreed?
COUNCI LLORS: Agreed, yeah agreed.
MS. GALLAGER: Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 6. To consider the disposa1 of
.1182 hectares or thereabouts of land at Brockagh (Knockfin) td, co. Wicklow by way of deed of rectification to Richard Cooke and Catherine Boyd COUNCI LLOR CULLEN Proposed.
COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD: I second that.
MS. GALLAGER: Proposed by Shay Cullen and seconded by Pat Fitzgerald. Is that agreed?
COUNCI LLORS: Agreed.

ME. GALLAGER: Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Next we come to item 7. To consider the delegation of certain functions to the Municipal
Districts - S131 of the Local Government Act, 2001 as amended (deferred from meeting of 14th May).

MR. CURRAN Cathaoirleach, this is an issue raised by Councillor Matthews, in particular in relation to Part 8 s and whether they will be dealt with exclusively at the Municipal District level. He subsequently inquired about local area plans also.

And this was discussed at the 1ast CPG and we decided at the CPG was to bring it to a full Council meeting, get the views of Members, ask the Municipal Districts to discuss it also and feed their information back to the CPG who would come back here with further recommendation.

Just by way of background, the Local Government Act has a schedule in relation to reserve functions. The first 14:24 part of that is functions that are taking place at the Municipal District level and that is why they are all down there.

The second one is one that can take place at either Municipal District or the main Council and a lot of those, in fact the majority are done in Municipal District in any case. And the third then is ones for the main Council.

So the two I mentioned the Part 8 s and the Local Area Plans can be dealt with by either. The policy in Wicklow County Council has been to consult with the Municipal Districts and then for the main decision making to take place at the main Council. There is nothing wrong with that, that is fine. And it is the same in a lot of other counties.

Some counties have a situation whereby the Part 8 is dealt with exclusively at the Municipal District and similarly with Local Area Plans. And again, as I say it, varies around the country.

There is advantages and disadvantages and the advantages, needless to say, are in relation to that it is local issues dealt with at local level, there is efficiencies, there is use of local knowledge.

Disadvantages, there may be a lot of pressure on a
small number of councillors in the local area, there may lead to inconsistencies possibly. But those - is for and against. Some of the counties we spoke to like Kildare and Tipperary would have a situation in relation to Part 8 s that if it is exclusively a local it is within a Municipal District say, for instance, the county buildings here were looking to expand and it was affecting all of the county, it was only one of a
particular type of building in the county, that would be dealt with at the main meeting. So, for instance, if it was done in Council Chambers, it would be dealt with at the main meeting, extending the fire station next door to be dealt with at the Municipal District.

So, I suppose, we would like to hear the views of Members and it is a policy decision we have to make, it can go either way. We can leave it to next Council if we want. We can transfer the Part 8 s over to Municipal District and keep the Local Area Plans here. It is really up to the Members.
CATHAO RLEACH I would like to say a few brief words before I let the Members in.

I would certainly support the Part 8 s being delegated to the Municipal Districts. I think we have seen ourselves this year how part 8s can from this different areas of the county can actually end up dominating a Council meeting and taking up a lot of the time of the Council meeting. And, in fact, you know, often doubles or triples the time that the same item is thrashed out. It is thrashed out at local area meeting, it is back to the Council meeting and then goes back to the local area meeting again. And it's often repeating and throwing from one to the other.

And I think the decisions should be made locally and I think it is a better use of wicklow's resources. I
think these meetings, these County Council meetings should be dealing more with countywide issues, you know like the manager's report, stuff that we never get time to talk about. Broad issues relating to the regional planning guidelines which we didn't get to discuss properly because of the various Part 8s that dominate these meetings.

So, I would be very much in favour of Part 8s being dealt with by the local Municipal Districts. Councillor Behan.

COUNCI LLOR BEHAN Cathaoirleach, what is very
interesting now about what the Chief Executive is after saying is that, in fact, we could have decided the Bray local issues, Part 8 s that we had already, you know that spent so much time discussing here at this chamber. And, in fact, the local area plan they could all have been decided in Bray. I was under the impression they couldn't have been decided in Bray. In fact, I am nearly sure we were told we could only decide them here. So, I am certainly very concerned that decisions now are made by the full body when, in fact, the local body had the power to make those decisions. And certainly, maybe I was under the wrong impression but I got the impression that it all had to be done in wicklow. And it would have had serious implications for a number of decisions that were made in the recent past in Bray if those decision had been made where they should have been made now in Bray.

However, you know, those decisions are made now and I suppose we are not going to be able to unwind them. But I most definitely would agree with you,

Cathaoirleach, that all decisions that can be made locally should be made locally. And, in fact, there is a list of powers there in Part 2 on page 234, powers that can be given back to the Municipal Districts. And I want to propose, Cathaoirleach, that each and every one of those powers are given back to the Municipal Districts. And that decisions, all decisions that can be made at the local area should be made at the local area from today on. And that will mean then that the areas where the people know the best, where the Members are representing those areas, they are the ones who can make the decisions that affect the people on the ground in those particular districts. So, I want to make that proposal, Cathaoirleach.

I also want to make a point that I strongly disagree with the three pages, the conclusion of the three or four pages that came from the Executive, I don't know who wrote it. But this last paragraph:
"It may happen that because of local pressure in the Muni cipal District on the Municipal District Members that they might feel under undue pressure to, for instance, reject a proposal which they mi ght wi sh to support if the functions concerning that proposal were
not so del egated they would have the support of the full Council available to themtaking a broad view'.

Now, what that is really saying if you agree with it but you don't want to let on to the people that you agree with it, so you kind of say you are against it but you get your friends in the parties or in the groupings to vote for it at the full Council. To my mind that is an absolutely reprehensible approach for any elected person to take. That is a coward's charter. That is basically saying, say one thing in Bray but do something else in wicklow or get your friends in wicklow to do the dirty work for you.

So, I would reject completely that sort of thinking. And I, again, want to propose that every power that can be delegated to the Municipal District should from today be so delegated, thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Just to be clear you refer to page is it 324 you are referring to? You referred to a certain page in the document?
COUNa LLOR CULLEN Yes.
CATHAO RLEACH Powers that could be --
COUNCI LLOR CULLEN The last page of the summary that was given.
MR. CURRAN Cathaoirleach, just to be clear, you know dealing with these at the Part 8 s and the Local Area Plans at the main Council meeting, that is fine there is nothing wrong with that. The choice is there you
can do it either or.

I think what we are discussing today and was discussed at the CPG was, in particular, that Schedule 2 where we have a policy we decided whether we do them at the Municipal District or at the main Council. I think that is what - that is what Councillor Matthews was looking for.

And what we had discussed at the CPG is that we get the views here. We get the views of the Municipal Districts, we bring it back and then we come back with a proposal at the next meeting.
CATHAO RLEACH I am trying to be clear -COUNCI LLOR BEHAN Sorry but sure I am making a Is the Chief Executive going to interfere with me making a proposal?
CATHAOI RLEACH No, no, there is no problem making a proposal, I was just asking you what exactly is the
proposal. A11 the items in Part 2 that you are proposing.
MR. CURRAN You are talking about the items in Part 2 that can be dealt with in the Municipal District, should be dealt with in the Municipal District?
COUNCI LLOR BEHAN That is what I am saying, yeah. MR. CURRAN Well, I think most of them are anyway, you see, it is just those two in particular were dealt with at the main Council.

CATHAO RLEACH Just could we, thinking out loud, could we get clarification on what items in Part 2 are not currently delegated to the Municipal Districts? okay, we are talking about Part 8 s and Local Area Plans, certainly that is clear that people want that moved to the Municipal District. There is a proposal to that effect but I just want to establish what other if there is any other items as well.
COUNCI LLOR BEHAN Okay, yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Fortune.
COUNCI LLOR FORTUN: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Yeah, I
think this is a very important item on the Agenda and I would try and take a constructive approach to it because I would be on the record since the introduction of Municipal Districts that when they were put in place the powers that should have been given to them were not given to them. And the participation of executive level, obviously all districts have a district manager. Other senior officials, I think should be more involved what is going on at this council level.

So, I would - I would second Councillor Behan's proposal on regards to page 204 is the page he mentioned when he was making his contribution. I actually think that the Municipal District model is absolutely ideal from a local government prospective if it is given the clout and the powers and the financial support that it should get. I also think that, I know the CEO says it with the best interest that there might
be pressure locally that wouldn't appear down in the chamber but I personally don't see a difference in that. I don't see any difference in the pressure you have sitting at a District Council versus sitting down here. The problem I have with that and again I am stating what I've stated many times is, I think it would be much more democratic to have all these areas and items decided and discussed properly at district level. Because when they come down into the council Chamber it has been my experience that the inner sanctum takes over and that is how things happen.

So, I think, from my prospective that would be a positive. So, I would welcome this and I think the sooner we do it the better. And I hope that now that it is on the Agenda and has been put forward, that I would fully support it and I hope it is enacted fairly quickly. I think it should go to protocol or wherever it needs to go today, whenever it can go and it should be approved without delay. Because $I$ think it is in the interest, certainly the way I look at my area and I am sure a lot of my colleagues might agree with me, would give us more control of what is going on in our area. And we would have more productive conversations then down here in the chamber about things that matter to the citizens of wicklow rather than coming down here and having the games we have here at every meeting. will I repeat that last, it is recorded, isn't it? CONCI LLOR FORTUNE: It is just that you weren't really

- you were talking while I was talking.

CATHAO RLEACH I heard what you said. You are seconded Councillor Behan. Councillor Matthews. COUNCI LLOR MATTHEVG: Thanks, Cathaoirleach and thanks for putting it up on the Agenda because I brought this up under a disposal addition that we had a couple of months ago and it was ruled inappropriate at that time to bring it up. So, thank you for putting it on the Agenda this time.

I think the two most contentious issues have been Part 8s and Local Area Plans and I think if we gave them back to the districts, $I$ think we probably get better results and less contentious issues. Because nobody knows the area better than those elected to represent those areas. So, I would support the option of delegating the powers Part 8s and for Local Area Plans back to the Municipal Districts.

With regard to delegating every single function under Part 2, I think we need to be just a bit cautious in that there is a lot there and I haven't even read through it all because it refers to sections $I$ am not sure which acts they refer to. Have we got the resources in the Municipal Districts if we suddenly load all these responsibilities on them. So, I think we should just maybe have a look and flesh out what all those different roles and responsibilities are. See is there the resources in the districts to deal with them
straight away. And it kind of raises two questions. We have 11 months left in this Council or just over ten and a half months. And the default position seems to be we took from the start that if you haven't delegated these responsibilities then they do fall to the full Council. So if we delegate these responsibilities now, does that continue through the next Council or is it something that has to be gone through again in May next year? Because my reading of it is unless you delegate this then it is a full Council responsibility.

I would definitely support Local Area Plans and Part 8s to be done in Municipal District, thank you, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor McLough1in.
COUNCI LLOR MCLOUGHIN Thank you. In essence, I do agree that we need to get an awful lot more back into Municipal Districts. Where $I$ think we may have a problem, particularly with Part 8s, with a Municipal District that has eight or six Members, if it is 50:50 we11 then the final vote goes to the Cathaoirleach. And I personally think that in itself depends, doesn't matter what we are talking about, whatever side, $I$ think if that is the case $I$ then think it should go to the main body, that is my own opinion. Otherwise I think you have a situation where one person has actually more control than everybody else around the table.

So but, in essence, I do fee1, particularly local area plans, they should not be discussed around this table. But with Part 8 s some of the very big infrastructure, I think we need to be very careful not too much control is given to one person who just happens to be in the chair that year. Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Lawless
COUNCI LLOR LAVLESS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Yes, I have to agree with some of the councillors and yourself. I think any powers that can be given back to the Municipal District should be. I do agree with the Part 8s also the local area plan and even byelaws as well should go back in as other councillors have said, it is local people, local decisions. We have seen here around the chambers many times, especially with the Local Area Plans other councillors not in those areas, we mightn't know the background and you are making fairly big decisions. And I think it makes good sense with the Local Area Plans to keep them within our own Municipal Districts.

Also as well, if it frees up time in this Chamber here that we can do more productive work for the actual county as a whole, I would be very happy with that as well. I agree all powers that can be given back to the 14:38 Municipal Districts, I think they should be, thanks. CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Tommy Cullen.
COUNCI LLOR CULLEN Right, Chairman, yeah. Chairman, I would like to see some more power to guards in the
devolution of local byelaws. And I'm, in particular, concerned Chairman of - a - I think the Municipal authorities first and foremost have been a great success. They really have been and it is disappointing they weren't introduced years ago in the format they are currently in because they have been a great success. But there are issues that are best dealt with locally and that is probably to be welcomed in that regard. The only thing, Chairman, I am concerned about is byelaws with regards to dog fouling on public footpaths and public places and public roads. It is becoming a scourge of this county where people are out walking on the roads or in playgrounds or creches or parks where dog fouling now is becoming the scourge of the county.

And on the Baltinglass Hospital Road recently which was a road there was dog fouling and it was an appalling situation where people were walking out off the footpaths onto the public road, putting themselves in dangers of traffic because of the amount of dog fouling on the public footpaths where people were using as a walking route. And it is very dangerous and unhealthy for children. And there is a lot of children going up to the local GAA field there and playing sports at the schools. And people walking up to the hospital and the dog fouling. And the Council put up a number of 'No Dog Fouling' signs. I think they put four signs up. As a result of those dog fouling signs came up, the dog
fouling decreased by over 70 percent. So they did have a great effect.

And I plead that powers regarding this, this type of antisocial behaviour by people who have no regards for other people walking the footpaths or public roads with regards to dog fouling, that something should be done to stop this scourge on the Council and the Municipal District in the Baltinglass area are taking action about this. And I think there was, we were unanimous at our last meeting, that we are going to increase the amount of dog signs, anti-dog fouling signs in that area. I think that should be extended to every Municipal District in the county. Because if people want to go out for a walk in the evening times, particularly in the evening times when it gets more darker and in the wintertime people don't see where they are walking bringing, dragging dog foul into their house or where there is children in creches, something is going to have to be done urgently about this. And I 14:41 would ask every councillor here in the Municipal District to allocate some of their discretionary funds for signs to stop people, deter people from dog fouling.

In general I would like to see, I would welcome the devolution of powers from the County Council to Municipal Districts, I think it is worthwhile and it is long overdue, Chairman, thank you. But I would like
ask councillors here, if you are doing your discretionary fund and to allocate some funding for anti-dog fouling signs because they actually do work, thank you.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Fox.
COUNCI LLOR FOX: Thank you, Chairman. Certainly I agree with the thrust of what Councillor Matthew first proposed in fairness to him. I agree with the thrust of making decisions locally. And part of that is a lot of the time the discussion happens at Municipal level anyway. And it almost comes here as a sort of fait accompli, particularly with development plans. If I am voting on an Arklow Development Plan or you know a Blessington Development Plan, most of the discussion has happened before it gets to this Chamber. And you know a lot of us are not privy to that discussion. So it almost like it is packaged and ready to vote on. And there is something a little bit wrong about that even though I can understand why we do it.

But, I mean, the Bray Development Plan for example there was a huge amount of discussion, there was workshops at local level. And then it came here and people were expected to vote on that plan without having been party to that discussion, okay.

I would agree, however, with Councillor Matthews, have we resourced or have we resources in the Municipal Districts to all of a sudden to take all this extra
work? And that is something we would have to take a look at because Councillor Behan's proposal there is a raft of issues to be delegated. I don't have a problem with it but certainly have to have the resources in the districts to be able to deal with it.

So initially I would say I would support development plans and Part 8 s being delegated and open minded on everything else. But $I$ would have to give due consideration to the resources that are at local level. Thank you, Chairman.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Kavanagh.
COUNCI LLOR KAVANAGt Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Yeah, like Councillor Blane, I am actually amazed and appalled that four years into an administration when we 14:44 sat here a month ago and we discussed a very contentious issue, that the senior executives didn't even know that this could be referred back to that particular Municipal District Council to be discussed. What we ended up here with people from all over the county, many of whom had never even visited that particular place making - voting according to their parties, not according to the issue. So, I really am appalled and especially as Councillor Matthews actually made a proposal and he was ruled out of order, it turns 14:45 out it wasn't out of order at all. So something really bizarre going on when this has been a fact all this time and nobody seems to have known it.

Now that notwithstanding I am not going to rake through the past, what is done is done but I would just like to know if in the event of something similar happening again, decide to devolve decision making to the Municipal District Councils and something very contentious that has an affect on the whole county, such as a historical building, not just kind of local things but something that is regarded as being of significant importance. Is there a mechanism where that can be brought back to the larger council or is it an all or nothing kind of thing? If decisions making on the Part 8s and Local Area Plans are devolved to Municipal District Councils, can they, depending on what the issue arising is, be in large to the whole Council or is it all or nothing type of thing? MR. CURRAN I just answer that. In Part 2 they can be dealt with by either the Municipal District or the main Council. But it has just been the policy here and lot of other counties to deal with Part 8 s and Local Area Plans at the main Council. That's just the way it has been but you can have a situation whereby, that is why I would like to go back to the CPG and we come back with an actual policy. You can have a situation whereby, as I say, major infrastructural issues that might cover a number of Municipal Districts would be dealt with at the main Council. Whereas local issues and local developments dealt with at a local level.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Blake.
COUNC LLOR BLAKE: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I
certainly too would support any evidence that can be discussed or agreed at local leve1, I think probably Bray has been one particular example in that regard. It is a huge big town that needs a lot of local knowledge to determine what is actually happening there. I will always endeavour to make myself aware of whatever I am voting on wherever would it be Bray or Arklow or anywhere else in that regard.

But certainly anyone who was on the CPG over the years when we were discussing this originally, there was a lot of talk at that particular time about the lack of devolved responsibility to their local areas. A lot of discussion at that particular point in time about it. we were frustrated in our efforts to try and get additional activity available to the local district at that particular point in time.

I certainly too would agree with Grainne there. There may be occasions in the future as well that there will be a contentious issue at a local level that might need to come back here and get some due consideration. If you saw there, there is an item there on the board in front of us burial grounds, burial ground policy in Bray in comparison to west wicklow would be totally different and we are subject to the same policies as right across the whole county. Creates a great difficulty, we spent two years probably trying to adopt a policy that covers the whole county. I don't know
whether we have actually at the end of the day agreed on a policy that actually does give proper representation to people, particularly in rural areas and against maybe the bigger urban districts in that regard. I certainly for one would support greater responsibility in that regard. Thank you, Chairman. CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Vance. COUNCI LLOR VANCE: Chairman, thank you. I have go on record time and time again about the Municipal
authorities and how they were set up. In actual fact Bray I think was the only local authority in the whole county that actually had a finance function and had a planning function and it has lost both of them. We could generate our rates there and also we had a planning function as well. We lost everything there, we lost our staff put down by more than half. I was in our offices there I think last week and I think there was only one person upstairs at one stage because of holidays and various other things. So, I mean, this was supposed to give greater access to the public to local services locally and it has failed dismally to say the 1east. And I am certainly in favour of giving more giving more authority to obviously the local to the Municipal authorities. Does this mean we are going to give a planning function back to Bray? Does it mean we 14:50 are going to give - we are going to give a financial function? You can give all the services you like back to a Municipal authority but unless they have a financial generation in that particular area, they
can't carry out these functions or relying on the finance of wicklow County Council as well. So, I mean, people should take that into consideration as well.

I would agree with Councillor Matthews position in regard to, I would like to know, I would like to be very specific on what functions are going to the local authorities or the municipal authorities and how they would be backed up by a staffing level as well. Because you can give all the functions you like but if they are not backed up by staffing levels as well and we have a situation where there is plenty of staff space up in the likes of bray and we are looking for staff space down in wicklow buildings here. It may be an opportunity here as well to give some staff back to the Municipal authorities and provide greater services to the public that we represent as well.

So, I think we need a little bit more work in this. I think we need more detail on it. And I think we need more input from the management in regard to how they will service these extra services. From my point of view I totally agree with giving more, giving more power back to the Municipal authorities but that has to be backed up by other services as well, thank you.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor O'brien.
COUNCI LLOR O BRI EN I support Councillor Behan's proposa1. At a recent meeting in the Bray Municipal District we had a situation where we were spending
money that we generated from the Southern Cross. The situation arose, a lot of the votes often went $4: 4$ and the chairman had the casting vote. which meant when you looked at what happened to all the money then there seemed to be a total imbalance in the way the town was treated. That's a particular area seemed to get most of the money and other area got nothing at all.

So, I would be proposing two things, either the numbers in the Municipal District which are even numbers would have to change to odd numbers, so that situation wouldn't arise where the chairman would have the casting vote or else in the situation as well that it refers back to the county Council so where they could look at it. Because often what happened there can be an imbalance. All politicians we all tend to look after our own people in our own area in our own district that is where we get our votes and that is what we are looking towards and that is specifically what happened at that meeting that night in Bray and absolutely nothing went in there, just amazing that they thought it would go down to the seafront. That does create an imbalance in the town.

There is another imbalance I would like to talk about as well, the imbalance between business and the general needs of ordinary people and business controlling councils and lot of them won't even spend. That is something that seriously needs to be looked into and
addressed because there is a lot of homelessness in places, a lot of basic problems for people living in Bray that have not been addressed and that money is tending to go to me something that business in the same county councils are run.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor walsh.
COUNCI LLOR MALSH Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Again, Cathaoirleach, just looking at the issues that pertain to the proposals, especially around the part 8 s and the Local Area Plan, it is my point of view that the advantages far outweigh the disadvantages, so I would be in favour of the proposal in relation to Part 8s and Local Area Planning.

But I would also like to concur with the point made by Councillor McLoughlin earlier, where we would have municipal districts split 3:3 or 4:4, whatever the case may be and the onus of making the decision falls on the shoulder of the one person who happens to be the Cathaoirleach. So that again is far from satisfactory, ${ }^{14: 53}$ so that needs to be catered for, thank you.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Thornhill.
COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. Cathaoirleach, I would just like to say in relation to Part 8s, two months ago we had a situation here and we were talking about a very contentious issue in Bray. And Councillor Kavanagh brought up the matter about the Part 8. And like, if this was done at the time why weren't we told about it? There is no doubt
about it, it is quite evident, you know, that people in their own area know about what is happening in their own area. There was people that day voted from other parts of the county they didn't even know where the building was. So, I think that is something in the future you should think about.

And I also would like to extend this to another matter about legal opinion. I mean, it is coming to my information now information that has come to me now that maybe we should have been entitled to legal opinion. And maybe there is an awful lot there that the administration know about and maybe the administration and the Council should get together and let us now know exactly what we are entitled to, go raibh maith agat, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Snel1.
COUNCI LLOR SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach, I will be short and brief. I just want to say I would be supporting the delegation sending functions back to the ${ }_{14: 55}$ Municipal District. I think it seems that most speakers previously would concur with that.

Since 2014 and abolition of Town Councils, everyone has been saying that the local, the local areas such as Town Councils, Municipal Districts powers and functions have been eradicated from public representatives. So, I think this is a positive step.

Just in regards to Councillor McLoughlin's suggestion, I think it is probably one of the most sensible things I have heard today in the chamber. In regards to if it is a $50: 50$ split, that it should then come in front of the Chamber here. And I suppose it is interesting to note that Bray has been mentioned several times on some of the issues that come here in front of the full Chamber. One issue that went through five of the eight local councillors were actually opposed to that if it had remained in Bray it wouldn't have come in front of the Chambers and it certainly wouldn't have went through.

And another issue it was 50:50 split, so it is just it is water under the bridge. But it is interesting to note that some of them wouldn't have went through at a11, major infrastructural projects.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Ryan.
COUNCI LLOR RYAN Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Just to
clarify that my understanding that if, you know, if the 14:56 powers of Central until a decision is taken by the Council to devolve the powers to the local area? No. MR. CURRAN No, sorry, just on Schedule 2, it can be done by either, there is no need to delegate or anything like that. It can be done by either the municipal District or by the main Council.

But the policy here, as in a lot of other Councils was for the decision to be made at the main Council and
discuss it maybe at the Municipal District. But if you want to change our policy, that is what I am saying, it was discussed at CPG, the decision was get the views of everybody, then bring it back and the CPG come back in with a written proposal, written proposal for Members to adopt.

COUNCI LLOR RYAN Just for what it is worth I mean I have a different view to most people here. I mean fundamentally my biggest issue is that where I come from, Bray, that the Town Council was abolished. I think that was one of the worse decisions ever taken. I was really disappointed when the government was being put together the last time with all the negotiations that were going on in the different parties and the like, nobody seemed to make an issue of it. Local government didn't actually come up, at all, as an issue across the board. So, I think all parties and none definitely have a strange view what local government is about. I'd love to see Bray County Council back with the powers of finance and the other powers that it actually had because it worked really well. But it is not there, right.

But I was elected, as everybody around this table was, as a Wicklow County Councillor. So, for example, if something happens just over the border of the Municipal District of Bray and Greystones and if we have delegated powers to the local Municipal Districts, it means I get absolutely no say as a wicklow County

Councillor zero say, it is all down to the Municipal District authority Members, I think that is wrong. So, if there is something let us say with regard to Greystones Harbour, I think I should have a say because I am a wicklow County Councillor, I am not just a Bray Municipal District Councillor, I am Wicklow County Councillor and I think people should actually think about that. Because I think what you are actually doing is you are actually minimising the effect that you actually have, as a councillor, across this whole county. I definitely love if people just maybe think a little bit more before they make a decision. I am a huge fan of subsidiarity and decisions being made at the local level but only when it makes absolute sense to do that, thank you.
COUNCI LLOR BEHAN Sorry on a point of information, the Chief Executive --
CATHAO RLEACH Sorry I saw he put on his light and he knocked it off --

COUNCI LLOR O BRI EN I am on again here. Just the 14:59 Chief Executive stated that other Councils had done what we are talking about doing, different functions to the Municipal District. But we had an opportunity when we got that document. We discussed it at Council meeting on Monday the 5th of October 2015. We, at that ${ }_{14: 59}$ stage, could have reverted back to the Municipal Districts, get some powers back to the Municipal Districts, as I see it. when did the other Councils decide to give more powers to the Municipal District,

Chief Executive? That was back some years ago.
MR. CURRAN Yeah, you see it varies around the country and it goes back to when the Councils were formed back in 2010 or whenever it was that some of the Councils deal with the Part 8 s and the Municipal District at local level, only some. There was the majority, $I$ would say, are doing what Wicklow would have done. And it is a matter of policy, a matter of policy for the particular Council to do what they want to do.

But what we are saying here is that if we want the Municipal Districts to deal with Part 8 s and with Local Area Plans and anything else that is in that Schedule 2 , that is fine and we can do that. And we will take the views of all the Councillors here, we will go back to the CPG and we will come back here with a policy on what we will do into the future.

Just in relation to Councillor Ryan, some counties have the policy then that look if - if a proposal is affecting two Municipal Districts then it will come back to the main Council. Or if there is one of a particular item in a particular county, say I don't know civil offices or something like that, that that would be dealt with at the main Council also. We can write that into our policy.
COUNCI LLOR O BRI EN Can I just emphasise on that document we got in 2015, Part 1 of that Schedule 14(A) Part 1 reserved functions to be performed subject to

Section 131 (4) by Municipal District Members. At that stage we could have brought that up 'til the powers went back to the Municipal Districts. As I recollect there was very little discussion on that.
COUNCI LLOR RYAN You are right, you are absolutely right.

COUNCI LLOR O BRI EN And I wrote to the Department about the extra functions for the Municipal Districts and I am quite clear on what $I$ was told, it is the function of the elected Members of wicklow County Council to - to change the functions in accordance with Section 131 (A), Schedule 14 (A). And when I looked through them some of the functions we are talking about now are in that.

So we had an opportunity the same as Kildare and the Chief Executive mentioned a few other counties have been doing since Day 1 what we are talking about doing now is give more power to the Municipal Districts and I agree with that to be quite honest with you.

I wrote to the Minister about that and the reply was we had that opportunity, other counties took that opportunity. And I think Chief Executive that is the position that other counties are doing what we are talking about transferring now with nine months to go to the Local Elections in 2019.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Behan.
COUNCI LLOR BEHAN Cathaoirleach, just on a point of
information, the Chief Executive said we actually didn't have to make any decision that the Municipal Districts already had the power, right. But he said it was the policy of the Council that we don't use that power. We11, can he tell me or can somebody tell me when did we make that decision as a policy, that we would only have Part 8s and Local Area Plans here? I don't recall that ever being discussed in the lifetime of this Council. So, is he saying, therefore, that the policy was the policy of the management given down to us where actually it was our decision to make in the first place?

COUNCI LLOR O BRI EN $I$ will come in again, it was our decision to make that on the 5th of October 2015 when that document was put in front of us. I don't recollect anyone asking for extra responsibilities to go back to the Municipal Districts. I don't think we were guided by the Chief Executive at the time. That is what we got for the meeting on the 5th of October.
CATHAO RLEACH It sounds like it wasn't discussed. COUNCI LLOR RYAN We had the opportunity but we didn't take it.

COUNCI LLOR O BRI EN That is the point, we didn't probably discuss it all right but look no one brought it up either.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Fortune.
COUNCI LLOR FORTUNE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I hear what clearly what he is saying but I just differ from his conclusion. Because what I said earlier and it is
a matter of record, I said earlier, I said exactly that when that document is given to us. I said it at our district level and I said it at the county level because that is the flaw I saw in it, that we were being given this title of municipal District which I think is a very good model if it is properly structured. But it wasn't resourced and equally wasn't properly structured. And the end result was we are where we are. Exactly what I said today is what I said back in 2015 and that should be a matter of record somewhere.

CATHAO RLEACH Council1or Matthews.
COUNCI LLOR MATTHEVG: Cathaoirleach, I just want to try and clarify something. We were given that document, that schedule 14 (A) we were e-mailed it in June 2014 when we were all elected and I think we were sent two hard copies of it. So, everybody was aware of the functions that could be performed by District, by Council or by both.

My understanding of it is that it is the Part 2 of that which can be done by either. And the default position is, unless the full Council delegates that to the Municipal District the default position is that it is a County Council matter. So, what we are discussing today is, are we going to take that decision to delegate the responsibilities to the Municipal District?

So that the position, we were all aware of this since May '14, June '14, two or three copies of it were circulated, so could have done this at any stage but it is today we are taking this on to say "are we going to delegate those?" And they don't automatically by default stay with Council, full Council have to delegate, that is my understanding of the act. Thanks, chair.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Murphy. COUNCI LLOR MRPHY: Yeah, after all the discussion, whatever and if it does come into play realistically what level of workload will it come back to the local Municipal Districts? And as someone had said about the resources, I mean you know what is the volume of work going to come back? And the staff level will have to be quite strong and I would be a little worried about the thing. I think the idea is fantastic and functional. But I think realistically like, you know, we go in to very strict and short staff offices at the moment. So, I mean, how long would that be coming into play, what is the backup for it?
MR. CURRAN The two issues we are talking about, the Part 8 if it is a housing Part 8 or a roads Part 8, it is prepared by roads anyway and roads generally would go out to the Municipal District and explain the issues. So there isn't a huge workload increase there for anybody.

And similarly with Local Area Plans, it is prepared by
the planners here. The planners will be going to the Municipal District in any case. So, there isn't really a huge burden on the municipal District staff for those.
CATHAO RLEACH Any other comments?
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Chairman, can I get a response from the Chief Executive with regards about - and it is intrinsically linked here, can $I$ just make a brief comment, chairman, about this dog fouling.
COUNCI LLORS: Come on, come on, for God's sake. Don't 15:07 allow that.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Hold on now, Chairman, Chairman, Chairman, I am quite serious about this.
COUNCI LLORS: Chairman, Chairman, please. Show a bit of guts there. I am getting mad.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Chairman, please, there is nearly 40,000 people out walking, walking every night.
CONNC LLORS: we have that everywhere.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Sorry, sorry hold on it may not be important to some Councillors but look at, Chairman. 15:07 COUNCI LLORS: It is very important to al1 Councillors. COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Chairman, can the manager encourage more signs and more policies regarding the dog fouling on public footpaths.
COUNCI LLOR RYAN Ten past three, Cathaoirleach, ten 15:07 past three, long Agenda.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Stop it, if you would stop -COUNCI LLOR RYAN You are talking crap literally. COUNCILLOR T. CULLEN Chairman, I think Councillor Ryan
has a very good record, a very good record of issuing crap in this county.
COUNCI LLOR RYAN Cathaoirleach, can you please just run the meeting properly. I am fed up. It is ten past three already now, please can we move on.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Chairman, if Councillor Ryan has some problem with what I am proposing --
COUNCI LLORS: we all are. we all have.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Can I have some indication from the manager that he is going to take the issue of dog fouling on public footpaths and thorough ways and can we look to get more anti-dog --

CATHAO RLEACH You have asked your question, now leave it.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULEN What?
CATHAO RLEACH You have asked your question.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Can I get a response, just a brief response.
MR. CURRAN It is a big problem, there is no question about it.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN what?
MR. CURRAN It is a big problem around the county, there is no question about that. And signs work, information works, bins work, pooper scoopers, everything works. Enforcement is difficult as you know, it is a difficult one to enforce.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN I appreciate that.
MR. CURRAN But certainly we can bring it to the attention of the environment section and Environment

SPC and do our best to proceed absolutely.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Yes because a lot of people are of the view that dog fouling on public footpaths is not an offence which it is and that is the message we need information on.

CATHAD RLEACH I want to deal with this item on the agenda. A proposal from Councillor Behan - Members, a proposal from Councillor Behan that the items listed in Part 2 be devolved to the Municipal Districts.

Now, the CEO has suggested that it goes to corporate policy to discuss. We need to go through each one of those 24 items and decide are we happy that every one of them goes to Municipal Districts. I think the general consensus here is that we do want them all to go through the - go back to the Municipal Districts but we haven't got to discuss each one of the 24 . And I am not suggesting that we go through each one of the 24 here today. But what about amending your proposal to let it go to the CPG and the CPG come back with a policy that would incorporate those 24 going to their Local Area Plans, un1ess there is some difficulty. I think there is general consensus here that --
COUNCI LLOR BEHAN But look it is on the Agenda now and this is the second month it has been on the Agenda. So people had the opportunity to read through the items, okay. Going to the CPG, what is that going to do, that is a bunch of chair people of SPCs and Directors of Service which will decide what is best for the
administration here in the county, in the County Council rather than what is best for the Municipal Districts. We are the ones who are supposed to make the policy. I don't see why it is going to CPG. I mean, I think okay if you want to put it on the Agenda for next month to give everybody who is an elected member time to consider it but then we should make decisions, Cathaoirleach. I don't see, what is the CPG going to do, read it out to us? we have them here. CATHAO RLEACH The CPG, like the management are involved, they might have suggestions that might be helpful how to deal with these 24 items, whether it is practical that they all go to the Municipal Districts. I think there is consensus around this table that they will go to the Municipal Districts.
COUNCI LLOR BEHAN Fine, well then can we put it on the Agenda for next month then?
CATHAO RLEACH Yeah absolutely, we put it on the Agenda for the July meeting. Is that agreed by the Members that we --

COUNCI LLORS: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH That we bring it to CPG, we put it to the July meeting, we make a decision in July.

COUNCI LLOR MCLOUGLI $\boldsymbol{N}$ Sorry Cathaoirleach, wil1 a11 of our opinions be brought to the CPG?
CATHAO RLEACH Yes.

Next item, Item 8. Review the Wicklow County Council Burial Ground Policy.
M. DENEHY: Good afternoon, Members. Everyone will be aware that this is not the first time this policy has been before the members. We had agreed by a subcommittee back in July 2016 and again in October 2016, it was agreed by the Transportation Water and Environment SPC in October '16 and June '17. It was back before the elected Members here in July last year at which time we were asked to send it back out to the Municipal Districts for input from each of the Municipal Districts with suggested amendments to the proposed policy.

Those amendments have come back and they've all been included in and updated in the policy. Here today in front of you is the proposal that of the 12 policies and the Transportation Water and Environmental SPC Committee met on the 28th of May and its recommendation, it is the recommendation that this Council agree or adopt those policies.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Kennedy. COUNCI LLOR KENEDY: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. This is something that we've discussed at Municipal level in great detail. And there was one issue in relation to the Greenane Cemetery that I can't agree with. And in fairness we have given this a fair thrashing at our own meeting. And the amendment that reads out in front of me is that "residents from the Glenmalure valley born or reared in the area will be considered for burial in Greenane Cemetery". To me that is not strong
enough "maybe considered", I never said "maybe considered" when I was putting in that amendment. what I want in is that residents from Glenmalure valley and persons born or reared in the Glenmalure valley will be permitted to be buried in the Greenane Cemetery.

CATHAOI RLEACH Councillor, could I ask that you put that in in writing and submit it up here. Councillor Tommy Cullen.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN We had - this has been going on for three years and it went back out to the Municipal Districts for evaluation and make recommendations. And in the Baltinglass Municipal District we discussed this in detail at two meetings and we came up with recommendations unanimously by all the elected Members and for a policy for the Baltinglass Municipal
District. Because the Baltinglass Municipal District burial needs are quite different than, for instance, Bray or Arklow or some other bid district.

And, Chairman, I would be proposing that we would adopt the policies as the burial policies as outlined by the recommendations of the Municipal District, the various Municipal Districts. For instance, I don't really know that much about the burial practices in Bray or wicklow or big urban areas. But I do of the Baltinglass Municipal District which are quite different. And, in particular, the requirements, the need for traditional headstone and the surrounds, the graveyard surrounds around the grave which are a traditional way of burial,

Christian burials in the rural areas. And I want that practice to be able to continue.

And, Chairman, I would propose that and I am only going to propose this at each Municipal District recommendations that would be adopted as the policy for their each individual area. And that in my own area we would adopt the proposals put forward by the Baltinglass Municipal District as the recommendations by the elected Members there.

CATHAO RLEACH Thanks very much. I have no problem seconding that proposal we discussed this at length in our own areas and I would second that proposal. Councillor snell.
COUNCI LLOR SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I am wondering first and foremost would it be possible to get a hardcopy of what is proposed as regards the policies if it is available. I notice you have a hard copy.

Just in from me own prospective in regards to East Wicklow Municipal District, we've discussed this many times. And just to say that in regards to the Rathnew Cemetery which is predominantly the main cemetery for this area, totally opposed to the lawn cemetery as are an awful lot of the Members of the public, just can't see any rhyme or reason for lawn cemetery.

In regards to maintenance of cemeteries, something that
comes up all the time, not just in this district in Clough or Glenealy but also we see in Arklow as well and further afield and I am just wondering is that a body of works for the environmental section or is it delegated to the Municipal District? And in regards to 15:17 the policy, I think it said something along the lines of minimal maintenance, can that be explained? Just thanks, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Doran.
COUNCI LLOR DORAN Thank you. I just want to support way they have been done for the last hundreds of years. I am in full support of the proposal that each local area do their own. I can't see how we as a County Council here can go and tell any church how and how we should use the graveyard. Because those graveyards in my area since there is only one local authority and it is not a big job to pick that one out go down and have a look at weeds and briars.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor McDonald. COUNCI LLOR MCDONALD. Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I just 15:18 want to look at No. 3 and No. 11 in the lawn cemetery. I have major reservations about the lawn cemetery. I do understand the rational behind it is. The SPC and the Council tell us that it is for ease of management
for maintenance but I don't think it is news to anybody that this is actually not what is happening in any of the lawn cemeteries, especially in Arklow. It is in an absolutely horrendous condition. I know at the moment some of the outdoor staff, most of the outdoor staff have been deployed into the graveyard to do a huge amount of work in there. But I mean once a year is not enough if we are going to try and get policies through around lawn cemeteries we need to be selling it to the public, we need to be selling it to ourselves, that what we are proposing is going to happen is actually going to happen, especially in graveyards like Arklow where we had a full-time caretaker up until the gentleman was retired. Why these caretakers can't be replaced once they have retired or for whatever the reason is. I would just be very concerned about the minimal responsibilities for maintenance within the Municipal District, could I just ask what exactly that will entail? How minimum is minimum? I know last year each area got an extra $€ 10,000$ in the budget but it definitely hasn't been spent in the Arklow area, I don't know where it has gone.

And if we are looking at putting more money next year in 2019 into the budget for the maintenance of graveyards, $I$ know it will be down to ourselves but we need to look seriously at the capacity to be able to put enough money into it to be maintained properly. It is just a couple of answers to those questions, thank
you.
CATHAOI RLEACH Counci11or Kavanagh.
COUNCI LLOR KAVANAGt Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Now, I don't know whether I am just imagining things or if something, I don't know, strange going on. It is to do 15:20 with the maintenance of the cemeteries. Now, the cemeteries around the graveyards in general over the last year to two years have started to look very scruffy, raggy or whatever. And we were told, at the time, that Council was promoting a biodiversity programme which I have to say as somebody who was an environmentalist I would agree with. So, riverbank walks and graveyards and any place where wildflowers grew was encouraged and they were left there and we explained that to the public and they seemed to accept it, right.

However, I was out in our graveyard very recently, the previous week there was an anniversary so I was there as we11 and it was full of wildflowers, full of everything. And then I realised we were getting very close to the blessing of the graves which is a very big event in wicklow anyway, $I$ am sure it is around the place in other areas as well. But $I$ was out there last week and I was absolutely appalled to see that all around me, not in the lawn cemetery portion but in the older section between the graves the grass which had been two foot high was suddenly as brown as this table, absolutely decimated. It couldn't have just been to do
with drought. It had to have been something to do with sprays. Because if you are trying to have a
biodiversity programme you cannot then follow it up with a programme of using pesticides and sorry not pesticides weed killers which Life Forsate (sic), things like Roundup and things like that because they known to kill bees. Bees are the pollinators we are trying to protect, bees and butterflies. As I said it was like a post nuclear landscape.

So, I am just wondering have there been sprays used out in the graveyards recently as a way to quickly, you know get the place in shape for the blessing of the graves. Or what led to this devastation this is the only word I can use to describe it. It actually
frightened me. But as I said it is negating the biodiversity programme if we are following it up with using weedkillers and sprays. We are going to kill all the bees and the butterflies, thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Nolan.
COUNCI LLOR NOLAN Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I want to say as a member of the SPC with responsibility for this, I would fully endorse the policies that have been put forward by the SPC. I think unfortunately we need to have a policy for the graveyards that are currently under the control of this Local Authority and the policy it has been as Helena said, this has been on various Agendas be it at Plenary Council level, Municipal District level or SPC leve1, this has been
going on for the better part of three years. And there finally seems to be a fairly consensus led group of policies that will govern the graveyards. I honestly think it is, it is - it covers everything and I want to commend the staff of the SPC, they have done a great 15:23 job, who have listened to everyone who put together this list and I think that this new updated policy should be implemented and I would propose that we take these, accept them and pass them.

ME. DENNEHY: Just to come back in on some points raised.

Councillor McDonald policy No. 3 and No. 11, the maintenance is a Municipal District function. And again if the budget is increased at certain times that is what that maintenance budget allows. So for, if you took, for example, in the Arklow area, Arklow area has 13 graveyards it is not just Arklow graveyard, it is 13 graveyards. And like the Baltinglass Municipal District has 21 graveyards in their district. So, again whatever budget is adopted is the maintenance budget to cover al1 graveyards in that area.

COUNCI LLOR MCDONALD: Could I just ask on that, I am just specifically talking about the Arklow graveyard in this instance where, we had a full-time caretaker, was that coming from the Municipal budget as well? I know it is from the general employee budget in wicklow, so we don't have that now?
MG. DENNEHY: The individual staffing matters in Arklow
is kind of outside this policy area at the moment.

Councillor Doran just in relation to, you mentioned that you only had one graveyard in your area, there is 21 graveyards in Baltinglass Municipal District. We are not looking at any graveyards that are under the control of churches in the area. These are all under the control of wicklow County Council.

Councillor Snell, you say that you are opposed to lawn cemeteries in Rathnew. Again that wasn't while it came from the MD you weren't agreeable to lawn cemeteries it did not specifically say Rathnew only.

Maintenance, again as I mentioned, maintenance is a district responsibility and whatever budget is adopted there for that district.

Councillor Kennedy, you mentioned about residents from the Glenmalure valley. Again, I have written "born or reared in the area will be considered for burial in Greenane Cemetery" means that they would definitely be considered. However, if the wording needs to be changed to "permitted", that is - I am happy enough with that, that is fine with me.

And just then Councillor cullen, just in relation to the areas that were raised for the Baltinglass Municipal District, specifically Baltinglass Municipal

District had requested that traditional burial policy is to remain in Rathgran Cemetery with regard to the placement of headstones. And Rathgran has been requested to be left as an open plan and not lawn cemetery. Again in my report, issued to the Members, I 15:26 have set out that the policy that Rathgran was developed on, was developed on a policy agreed by the SPC back in 2008. There has been three graveyards developed since 2008 and those three graveyards are Rathgran, Manorkilbride and Castlemacadam, they are full lawn type cemeteries.

Rathgran itself cost $€ 65,000$ to develop if we are to revert away from that option we are talking about more money to remove it. Again, all the - all the
suggestions that have come in from the MDs have been incorporated into the policy that is in front of you today. So where suggested changes have been requested from the MDs are included and the exception being Item No. 3 new extension lawn cemetery style and the proposal is that it remains as lawn type cemetery for future development.
CATHAOI RLEACH Councillor Thornhili.
COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: Yes, first and foremost, Chairman, he SPC policy wasn't approved by this Council. It was simply a policy adopted at an SPC meeting and SPC simply don't make the policy, that is why it is here before the Council to make the policy here today. So, it is incorrect to suggest that that
policy to have lawn cemeteries that was never adopted by this Council, so to suggest otherwise is incorrect.

Chairman, at the moment, for instance, there are several people now for the last three years have been refused permission to put up headstones over their family graves. Why does Council base on that SPC policy which was never brought before this council for approval. As you know, Chairman and in our areas it is important for people to have family headstone, in the traditional Christian way of burial at the head. And that there would be a plinth around the grave, that is quite the normal practice that we have and that is what the elected councillors have adopted as our recommendation in the Baltinglass Municipal District. I ${ }_{\text {15:29 }}$ think that is a very reasonable, a very reasonable proposal and to make. And to suggest that out in where in rural areas that we adopt policies that perhaps maybe in Bray or Arklow or bigger urban areas maybe what the elected municipal policies want in that area is one thing. But, Chairman, I believe that we would in the Baltinglass Municipal District would stay with our traditional form of burial that people would have the respect of being allowed to have a headstone and a plinth around their family graves, their loved ones
graves. And at the moment we have a number of families who can't are not allowed, have been refused by this Council, in writing, permission to erect headstones over their loved ones graves. I think that is an
unfortunate situation. And I think that today we should resolve, we have met our proposal as the Municipal District. It was unanimous, agreed by al1 the councillors that we would stay with traditional form of Christian burial and that is what I propose that we would, the Baltinglass district would be that we would adopt, this Council would adopt that policy as recommended by the elected Members from the Baltinglass Municipality.

CATHAO RLEACH The same proposal --
COUNCI LLOR THORNH LL: That is al1 just reiterating that, Chairman.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Fitzgerald.
COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD: we have discussed this so many times and do we have to take all the items? Can we not 15:30 just go through the items there and take them one by one? Because the way we are going we are going we are going to get no where. I hear suggestions about lawn cemeteries. At the last SPC meeting we had to ring around to get Members to get a quorum and this was on the Agenda.

CATHAOI RLEACH We have a proposal from Councillor Cullen which I seconded that we adopt the policies as the proposal --
COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD: With the exception of --
CATHAOI RLEACH -- as proposed by the Municipal District, as proposed by the Municipal District. COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD: I know that, that is not what is recommended here though, is it?

CATHAO RLEACH No but I mean the main contentious issue here is that the lawn cemeteries.

COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD: we have a lawn cemetery in Arklow and I mean some people haven't, the headstone is out with no kerbing and it has been operating there for five years now and the development I think there is 11 people have put in kerbing and letters have gone out or the chaps that do the kerbing and headstones have been written to that there is no kerbing to be put into the graveyard. Because we have a lack of space for a start. I think we have four to five years left on the graveyard. 85 to 80 percent of the people that conformed with what was required of them. So why then should we ask people in Arklow to not put in kerbing and do our suggestions go into that $I$ think west Wicklow; is that right? Just explain to me -COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN what I am proposing, Chairman that we would adopt the recommendations of each of the municipalities that --
COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD: Different policies in different areas.

COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Yes because there are five different geographical different areas with five requirements. For instance, the requirements for a big town like Arklow is different than for instance Rathgran or some other --

COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD: You want them facing -COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Chairman, what I just want to do, is just leave it, leave the burial policies in
rural, in west and south wicklow as is, not to change it.
COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD. Is there kerbing and headstone in Rathgran?
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH Tie in Councillor Behan's earlier proposal. Are you finished, Pat?
COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD No, can we just go through the, most of them we agree on, I would imagine. Instead we are going on the same one the whole time which is important, very important to some of you. Can we just on a one by one there through the chair. When we are ready, go onto 6 o'clock.
COUNC LLOR DORAN There is a problem with a lawn cemetery. I am older than most of the people that is here. And everyone, I have never in my lifetime heard anybody talking about anything only a headstone. If we go along with the lawn cemetery it won't be a headstone it will be a footstone. And surely to God that is wrong. And all you have to do is go down and look at Castlemacadam, the mess they did. Look at the old graveyard in it and look at the new one, that is all you want to see.
COUNC LLOR SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Again I am just seeking clarification, this come in front of our Municipal District and we were all in favour of not having the lawn cemetery in Rathnew which is one of the biggest cemeteries in the county. And that cemetery is in operation since 1905, for over a hundred years it
was an open cemetery. Somewhere along the lines in the old burial board, lawn cemetery was introduced. I think it is the most disrespectful thing you can have in an open cemetery to then introduce a lawn cemetery where people are walking over peoples graves. Whether it be blessing of the graves or funerals. We are lucky enough to have the annual Corpus Christi procession, the oldest one in the country which was held only two weeks ago there and it is held in the cemetery. Again it draws a big crowd. Have people standing around, through no fault of their own, having to stand on peoples graves, it is horrendous in my opinion. And growing up I am sure like everyone else in this Chamber if you stood on someone's grave you got a clip in the head for yourself.

I think certainly if we introduce what is being proposed in front of us we certainly deserve a clip in the ear as well. I want to know, are you asking us to support the continuation of lawn cemetery in Rathnew or 15:35 what was the suggestion that come back from the Municipal District?

And I also while I have the opportunity I want to say that there was a subcommittee set up here. We are representatives from each municipal district and it did go on for a number of years. And the reason being that it is such a contentious issue, the subcommittee didn't come to a conclusion. The SPC hasn't come to a
conclusion and certainly this is something I feel passionate about and it is something that I won't agree to ad hoc here today. I believe that we don't have enough information and I want clarification around that cemetery in particular in regards to Rathnew, please. COUNCI LLOR BEHAN Chairman, all I will say is what you were going to say, I mean this is a perfect example of what we were talking about in the last discussion, where decisions should be made locally if at all possible because there are different local traditions at play.

And the question of the burial of deceased family and friends is a very highly sensitive issue which we should all approach with great care. And I think in fairness we are and I include Helena and the rest of officials in that matter. And no one can fault Helena particularly for the work she has done on this over the last number of years and it has not been an easy task. But if we are at a point now as a council of deciding what powers we could properly give back to the Municipal District, this one is like standing right in front of us as being a perfect example of where we can do it. Because at the end of the day what we heard in the report is that the expenditure and the maintenance in the graveyards is done by the local Municipal District anyway.

So the only thing that is different obviously is the
registrations, I imagine. And there is probably even local registrars in place to do that. So, I would say rather than making final decisions here today or going along with what has already been stated that the decisions are delegated back to the Municipal Districts 15:37 for future reference for all of the burial grounds in their district.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor o'Neill. COUNCI LLOR O NEI LL: Again thanks, Cathaoirleach. Councillor Behan more or less took the words out of my mouth there because an hour ago we were talking about the powers of the Municipal District. This is a fairly straight forward that the decision of the burial policies in the Municipal District clearly state we don't want lawn graveyards full stop. We are just carrying out the wishes of the people of the area. I know every councillor in our district, you know, been contacted by relatives of people buries in these graveyards. And they just don't want these lawn cemeteries. So, I can't really see where the - the
problem you know is the - there are headstones allowed but as Councillor Doran said you end up with the feet of the people who are out there. And it is a traditional Christian right that you are buried with your feet facing the rising sun. And people are aware of these things and they are paying enough money for these graveyards. Now $€ 900-€ 1,000$ a shot. Their views should be respected. But just getting back to what Councillor Behan said, you know, this is a small
example of the powers of the Municipal District. And it is just black and white but day in day out we are being told by the people of our area they don't want these lawns out. I agree with Councillor Snell you know that, you know at funerals and that you see these lawn cemeteries, graves being stood on and that is another thing that you know in other graveyards it doesn't happen. I don't know how long we could go on for, you know, debate this but as far as I am concerned and I proposed at our meeting and again I can't understand how a report didn't go from our Municipal District back to the Council there. I would like to see a copy of that report, of the Executive decision of the Municipal District.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor winters. COUNCI LLOR WNTERS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Again, I think we should end this discussion and ask the Members to vote on it, do we want it to go back to Municipal Districts, I agree with Councillor Sne11. The people who I have spoken to who have people buried in Rathnew, in the lawn cemetery part of the graveyard, feel that they don't get the opportunity to give their loved ones the same, I suppose, recognition and support as if they can to people who they have buried in the older part of the graveyard.

I think that for the most part although the staff do a great job in keeping the graveyard, most of the work is done by family Members and most families do want to
tend to their graves and I think it is the least we can allow them to do.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Fortune.
COUNCI LLOR FORTUNE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Yeah, I would agree with what Councillor Snell said. I think Councillor snell for me summed it up very well. Because I think while I know we have that policy and everything else, $I$ just feel that lawn cemeteries to me are disrespectful. I know that is not intended, my view is that they are disrespectful. And the case in point to me in, a number of the Council would be very familiar with it, the graveyard in Bray up the Killarney Road, Springfield. I am in that every second Sunday, Ann's Dad is buried in there. I must say the way it is laid out and the way the lawn part of it is done, people are walking across graves and I just think it's - they don't intend to do it, it is just a feature of the way it is structured. I just don't think it is right, like in life there are things that are right and there are things that are wrong and I think that is one 15:41 of the things in my view that is totally wrong.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Vance.
COUNCI LLOR VANCE: Chairman, I think - amazing thing I heard about people, the reason that lawn cemeteries were brought in in the first place was because of lack of maintenance on the old cemeteries, it was impossible to maintain them for various reasons that families died and families moved off and various things like that. Because if you go into an old cemetery, a lot of old
cemeteries, it is impossible to keep them. whereas in actual fact the lawn cemetery is much easier to keep, it is cleaner and various things like that.

And also, in a lot of the older cemeteries the big noise in the area that could afford a big headstone, put up a headstone three times the size of everybody else as if he was the lord of the place or the lady of the place and you had a situation then a lot of people couldn't afford that type of thing as well.

If you go into a lawn cemetery the headstones are the same size, everyone is treated exactly the same way. The problem that we have in Bray is the fact that the local authority were the cause of this. It was a lawn cemetery from the word go. The local authority allowed people to put in the surrounds and then didn't deal with it that particular time and unfortunately it went on for years and years and years and people got very aggrieved and rightly so, they hadn't been - they
weren't moved at the time. Even though there was a sign up saying that this was a lawn cemetery and this was the policy at the particular time. It was totally neglect of the Local Authority officials to allow this thing to happen and that is what caused the problem.

So it is 90 percent, at least 90 percent of the people that are buried in Springfield complied with the lawn cemetery. I think there is a mass up there next Sunday
in regard to that and it is always in fairly good condition. But then it all boils down with lawn cemeteries, I think a lot of people said here, what boils down to the lawn cemeteries is the fact that it is the maintenance really is the key to it. You get proper maintenance on it, it actually looks extremely well.

I think it is something that the Local Authority should be delegated to the Local Authority because they know their areas better than everyone else. It is interesting listening to all the devolve function that people are talking about the Local Authority and yet here this evening we had three suspensions of standing orders that all should have been going to the Municipal authorities and yet they arrive down here.

So when Members are talking about devolvement of functions to the Local Authorities, they should also be aware that that is where they should be bringing up a lot of the local issues with regard to suspensions of standing orders and everything else and that is where they should be dealt with as well. We can't have everything all the time that you have devolved the function but where it suits you bring suspension to standing orders down here for local issues.

So we have to get consistency in that as well. And where as I firmly believe that function should be
devolved to local authorities, I think people should be aware that else where they should be bringing a lot of the issues that are ending up here as well on their own part.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Nolan.
15:44 that have fallen into rack and ruin and obviously there is no family or loved ones or friends or anyone to maintain them. If it is a lawn cemetery style it would be maintained the same as everyone else. And it would be, there would be no issue with if there were no family Members or friends remaining. As well in other local authorities I had, I had to attend the funeral of a friend's father who passed away on the north side of Dublin and $I$ forget the exact name of the cemetery but
it was very close to Dublin Airport. And there were thousands upon thousands of graves there. It was in a lawn cemetery style but it was shown the greatest respect. There were little pathways put in and it seemed to work perfectly fine and to me it is a very sensible solution.

With regards these policies perhaps Councillor Fitzgerald had the correct idea go through them one at a time and vote on them that way instead of sending the 15:46 whole lot back to Municipal Districts for SPCs. I feel we should move on them today and I feel that we just need to get it done. And I am not coming at this from a totally cold hearted prospective. My grandparents are buried in Springfield Cemetery in Bray and my other 15:46 grandparents and an aunt and an uncle are buried in Kilmurry Cemetery in Newtown, so I do have loved ones in cemeteries that are maintained by the local authority and I do think we need to get a policy regarding the maintenance and regarding the overall running of these graveyards and cemeteries we need to get set as soon as possible.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Blake.
COUNCI LLOR BLAKE: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Probably three year trying to get a resolve of this and it doesn't look like we are going to get one today either. I think Councillor cullen has already proposed it and you have seconded it yourself, that they would go back to the districts and the districts would adopt their
own policy with regard to how they maintain and design the graveyards. I would support it, Chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor McLough1in. COUNCI LLOR MCLOUGLIN I will be very brief, Cathaoirleach. I mean I have both my parents and my sister buried in Springfield and I absolutely cannot understand why anybody could say it is disrespectful to them the way the graveyard is. I think the grass is absolutely perfect. And back in 1994 there was a sign, we were told this is a grassed graveyard and we respected that because that is where our loved ones were put.

It is the, what I don't understand why everybody thinks it is okay that the actual rules that were there in the first place are being disrespected because a rule is a rule and $I$ think that is the way it should be. So and I think we have to be cognisant of upkeep and you know before they put in the grass cemeteries, there was a real issue about upkeep. They don't have the resources 15:48 in Bray to do it. I think there is a rule for that graveyard, it should be kept that way and I don't think it should be resorted back. I know my own parents were happy to go there and I am sure likewise so many others that are there too. They don't have a voice but I think their decision to go there as it was a grass cemetery needs to be respected as we11, thank you. CATHAO RLEACH I think everyone has spoken now. We have a proposal and seconded by myself. We also have a
proposal from Councillor Nolan. It can go back to the districts and decided by local districts. The main contention seems to be the lawn cemetery, I am not sure if there is any other contentious points in the other 11 items. Could agree the 11 here today and just deal with the lawn cemetery and local areas. Councillor Cullen, do you want to say something?

COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN when we voted on that Baltinglass Municipal District our policy last
September we - there was some, the amendments that we made were very minor, less than about maybe 8 or 9 percent of the proposals were amended. There weren't big, there weren't huge, simply about the form of the headstones, people being allowed to put headstones and plinths around their family graves. They weren't huge massive amendments, they were very modest.

But, Chairman, $I$ think if perhaps here that because as I said to you, I don't know the accurate thing about Bray or Arklow or that but I do know about the

Baltinglass Municipal District and you know the proposal that yourself and meself was made, that the decision would be devolved here today for decision by each of the Municipal authorities at their next meeting would be the most appropriate way to deal with it. Let 15:50 the Councillors who know their own areas better than anybody else would make the final decision. I think that is probably the most effective way of dealing with it. Because there is not that radical changes being
recommended by any of the Municipal Districts as far as I can see.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Fitzgerald. COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD: Just part of the policy here,
it proposes -:
"The proposed investigation work for various authorities and Arkl ow and Geneal y over the next five years, proposed memorial lawn, wall for burial of ash will be facilitated over the next three years longer term.. would be I awn type".

Leaving that one out, the first three, they are important, they need to be going ahead. We need to get moving on this because to put it back next Monday put it back to September. We are running out of space in Arklow, Glenealy there is investigation works Councillor Snell is interested in that and all we are doing pushing that. So I think we should vote on the ones we can agree here and let the other ones go back to the Municipal District.
COUNCI LLOR MCDONALD: I second that.
COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD At least no one will be talking about 12 different matters at the next meeting or the next meeting or the next year.

COUNCI LLOR FOX: Thank you, Chairman. Certainly I would agree with what Councillor Cullen is suggesting just with one caveat. I mean the reason for lawn cemeteries is lower maintenance, costs obviously. I
don't have an issue, I realise it is a sensitive issue but I don't have an issue with lawn cemeteries, I think they look quite well actually.

But just if a district decides to go with a higher maintenance mode 1 than another district and the funding allocated to that, are we suggesting that the Municipal District find that extra money within their own Municipal District budget? Just to clarify that, that you know, that if - if, for example, Bray goes with a lawn cemetery mode1 which is a lower maintenance and another district goes with a high maintenance, you know, because it is essentially boiling down to the cost of maintenance here, all the other issues we seem to be pretty much agreed on. But if some districts go for a lawn cemetery and a low cost maintenance. And other districts go for a high cost, when the budget comes around are those higher costs going to be covered within their Municipal Districts?
CATHAO RLEACH That is a kind of a difficult issue because some districts have a lot more graveyards than other --

COUNCI LLOR FOX: Pro rata.
CATHAO RLEACH Some graveyards are bigger, a lot of variations there --

COUNCI LLOR FOX: I understand that. COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN That is the way it already is, is that each Municipal District has its own budget for maintenance in any event.

COUNCI LLOR FOX: But we have a general policy. COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN But the maintenance there and most people, people pay for their own headstones, pay for their own plinths. And they're virtually, the way graveyards are now is that they are self maintaining because there is not a quarter of an inch left between graves. It is not like years ago.

So, Chairman, I propose that we would, that like yourself proposed, chairman, we would devolve the matter here to the Municipal District, each Municipal District to make their own at their next - at their next --

CATHAO RLEACH I think in fairness we are all anxious to close this off and get it dealt with. I mean I think all Municipal Districts still have their June meeting to hold.
COUNCI LLORS: Yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH So then do we agree that it is on the Agenda of every Municipal District at their June meeting and it is resolved then locally at Municipal District, end of story? Is that agreed?
COUNCI LLORS: Agreed, agreed.

Item 9, to receive update/presentation on Wicklow
County Council's Housing Supply Capital Programme.
MG. KI LKENN: Good afternoon, everybody. We
considered it timely to provide you with an update with regard to the housing supply strategy in Wicklow and
specifically the Housing Capital Construction Programme.

Our Social Housing Supply Strategy has been driven by two government housing strategy documents. The first one shown on the slide there is called Social Housing Strategy (inaudible), it was launched by the previous Fine Gae1/Labour government and when Alan Kelly was minister for housing. This document is what framed our priority disc one number scheme $I$ think you are all familiar with.

The second government policy document was Rebuilding Ireland Action Plan for Housing and Homelessness. This was launched by Simon Coveney when he was Minister for Housing and is now being driven by Eoghan Murphy following the cabinet reshuffle in 2017.

There are five pillars to the Rebuilding Ireland document. The first one is to address homelessness. The second is to accelerate social housing. The third pillar is to build more homes. The fourth is to improve the rental sector. And the fifth is to utilise existing social housing stock.

Wicklow Social Housing Supply Strategy is centred around Pillar 2 and Pillar 3, accelerate social housing and build more homes. So just to put the supply strategy in context, $I$ would just like to remind you
where we have come from. I was present at this opening of the last social housing scheme in Roundwood in wicklow in June 2013. That is when Pat Casey was Cathaoirleach of the Council. The newspaper heading at the time read:
> " 8 new houses unveiled in Wicklow will be the last social housing scheme to be built in Wicklow'.

We did actually, in fact, build two more houses one in 2014 and one in 2015. But the point I am trying to make here is that basically we stopped construction and we are coming from a position of zero. With the shift back to Local Authority construction outlined in the first government document by Alan Kelly, the housing section in wicklow is just coming to terms with the amalgamation at the time of the Council's and the increase in social housing stock from what was about two and a half thousand houses to 4,300 .

At that time we had limited technical resources, pure data regarding our existing stock and information on our landline which was zoned for housing was very limited. This slide shows existing social housing stock at the time. This figure has increased with the number of acquired properties over the last couple of years. But the number of tenant purchases this number wil1 go down again.

You will notice a concentration of social housing in the main urban areas, particularly around Bray, Greystones, Wicklow and Arklow, to a small extent in the Blessington area. So this where the current concentration of social housing is this is actually where the greatest need within the county is.

So, in preparing the supply strategy, as discussed at SPC level and at previous Council meetings here in the Chamber, we established our priority list 1 schemes. The first thing we did was to target the areas of greatest need in the county. So, initial figures from the social housing needs assessment in 2016 indicated approximately 3,000 households on the Council housing 1ist. With the urban areas demonstrating the local of greatest need, as I said earlier.

As ou are aware there is a new social housing need assessment currently underway and we will have more updated figures when this assessment is complete. But at the moment showing about 3,019 , so it is not too far away from what we have here.

To move on the strategy then we had to, we undertook an assessment of the Council's land bank zoned for
housing, concentrating on 1and, existing Part 8 and then honing in on sites which were more likely to achieve Department approval in terms of meeting sustainability, guidelines and also building standards.

So about two years ago the government said, throughout the nationally we would have to put together a strategic land bank. So a significant amount of work has been undertaken in the section in analysing wicklow's land bank, in the context of land suitable for social housing, that is within the last year or so.

This information has been relayed to the Department in respect of the National Strategic Land Bank Data Base that I referred to. Again I have already relayed this information at SPC at Municipal District level. So there is three areas of assessment put in the land bank in terms of housing together. The first area, criteria area, was development potential. That is the sites that would give us high - the unit yield on each site.

The second is the development arising whether or not there is existing part 8 on the sites and how ready it is to go from a planning prospective.

And the third criteria was development impediments. Sites were looked at to see if there were things that was going to holdup. So basically looking at each one of those criteria they are ranked either high, medium or low. obviously the sites that have a high potential for development from the first criteria, a short horizon with regard to planning. And finally a low level off site issues. These are ones we targeted as
more likely to get off the ground faster.

So coming out of the land bank assessment, what we what we have established is, I haven't established since it is not working, there it is, we identified a total of 180 sites. However, a large number of these sites are infill sites. So what we are now concentrating on is 32 sites with a capacity to yield more than ten social housing units.

Now, having looked at all of the land bank zoned for housing we are really looking that if we were to develop every bit of it we would only come up with about 800 units, this is the maximum number.

So if you look at this then, the land bank maximum number is 800 , the social housing list is 3,000 . So, it was very clear that you know wicklow on its own cannot address the social housing need. So our strategy then is based on a multi-facetted approach. I have gone through this with a lot of detail in the SPC and each Municipal District I come back to it again, so everybody is in the know.

Multi-facetted approach means that first of all we had to build up our inhouse team and the chief Executive boosted that by, we have three architects, two QSs, two technicians and two admin staff.

The second area we needed to look at developing partnerships with the AHB because they can also help to deliver. Again we are engaging with the private sector, trying to get social housing units through the Part 5 process. We have our PPP site in wicklow town and then 16:03 two turn keys. So, if developers can give us units that are social housing, we can use for social housing, we will take them.

The next aspect of the strategy was to procure outside architect consultants because even with that boost obtained we have ambitious targets here. As I said we are going from zero hopefully to about six or seven hundred units. We need to use everything in our disposal to get the units up and running.

In addition to that we have worked with the Department expedite delivery. I was on a Review Committee for the four stage approval process. We would still like to reduce it to a three stage approval process but at the moment we have moved that on, we have commitments from the Department for turnaround prudent stages.

And then finally then, particularly when we were coming from a zero base, we had to look at purchasing units but over time that will be reduced.

So the bottom line is that basically we are using every means at our disposal to provide social housing in

Wicklow. And this includes embracing all other initiatives being put forward from the Department. So what I haven't highlighted here and which my colleague Declan is dealing with (inaudible) CPO. As I said we are going all out to get as many social housing units as we can.

So, I now want to refer you to the Minister's latest release to come out there at the end of April. So the national target for social housing and this excluded (inaudible) and HAPs which is for 40,000 units and Wicklow's target has been given to us at 1,225. Because we were already working on our strategy prior to this, we had set a target of 1,327 , I am sticking to that and in fact hopefully we may even improve on it.

So, currently Wicklow's target is - Wicklow is preparing to exceed the governments target by 102 units.

So refreshing back to our priority list one which is all of the project we currently have in train within the four stage process. This housing strategy came out of the present strategy 2015/2017. So again we were targeting the areas of greatest need but a lot of those 16:06 were in infill sites. And, in fact, we were using a lot of resources to move schemes, very low yielding scream. So we have 12 new build schemes yielding a total of 243 units. However, we are now put forward
the priority list two, this has been brought to SPC level and to most of the Municipal Districts at this stage. And so under this we, as I said, we are concentrating on sites with a high unit yield and low impediments. So we have twenty new build schemes which 16:06 are detailed a little further on. This is going to give us a yield of a total 434 and $I$ put the plus units in it because we are stilling developing this, we are still looking at other sites which are not included on this list but I just wanted to give you a snapshot of where we are currently.

So the total new build from Priority List 1 and List 2 is 677. That is local authority build. We are also looking at, there is also these other mechanisms the 650, giving us a grand total if 1,327.

Going back to the other slide, the other mechanisms, just outlined here. So where are we going to get more units from these other mechanisms, for instance the remediation. This is works at Glen Ding, Hillview Estate in wicklow town and old Court in Bray, they will yield 30 units back to us.

Existing stock voids, work that we are doing - get 12 , this is just as an estimate to 2021. Turnkeys if we had developers who would produce units to us and that would be used for social housing purpose we would take them. The estimate there will be about 90 over the period of
the strategy to 2021.

Similarly would be approved housing bodies. And then if you look at the figure, the Part 5 there is showing 252 units. We actually think that would be much stronger. What isn't included in that figure is the Fasseau Row development which on its only would issue us with 400 houses for instance.

Acquisitions there that number may look big you can see 16:08 on the slide it is 152 , that takes in account the acquisitions we have taken on board already which is up at about 60 units, we hope over time we may improve that. But where we can improve on our Part 5 we will probably reduce the number of acquisitions.

And then the approved housing bodies also have their own schemes coming in as (inaudible) on local authority land and that is currently at 65. So that is the total then of 650 .

I just wanted to also let you know because there has been a lot of media coverage about what is happening and what is not happening in wicklow, so this is actually what is happening in Wicklow this year. We will be delivering 45 units. The O'Byrne Road is only one unit which will be delivered in September. But we will deliver on Avondale Heights in Rathdrum and the Old Library in Arklow in November. And Delaney Park,

Phase 1, in December.

Then coming on stream are these, all the rest of our schemes are in Priority List 1 will start during this year. So, as you already know Kilbride Lane the contract was signed in April for 42 units. Works have commenced on the SEA Hall in wicklow town taking down the roof restructure. And Kilmountain the actual contract for SEA Hall is the Mountain Place is under review at the moment. Hopefully that will start in August.

Druids Brook, two unit development in Kilcoole due to start in September. Sugar Loaf and Delaney Park Phases 2 and 3 and Fern Kelly has been through the full Council for the Part 8 process in those schemes.

And then the PPP project in convent lands 51 units is due to start in November.

So separate to that then are the schemes we are now progressing which we are calling Priority List 2. We have a total of 20 schemes and as I said we are going to target the larger sites. This is broken down into six rapid build projects, six traditional build, six that we may give out to the AHB sector and two of them that are Irish water dependent and I have 90 plus on it there because that number will go up depending on how quickly Irish water get the infrastructure in place.

That is the total of 434 plus the units that we are looking for for the rest of this time period to 2021. To break that down for you, again I was out at the Baltinglass Municipal District we talk about the schemes that are coming down the line for that.

So, the first one and we already submitted it for Stage 1 approval is whitehall in Baltinglass 34 units. Carnew we have 18 units. In Rathnew there is 66 units there, that may not all be social housing units, some of them may be approved or affordable housing, depending on when we get the affordable scheme put down. But given to us, I should say, back from the Department. We are also looking at Ashtown Lane in the Wicklow Municipal District, the Greenhills Road within Wicklow and another site in Shillelagh. The site in Shillelagh will accommodate more units but however from a sustainability point of view 20 units is the number that the Department said that could on7y be put forward 16:12 in terms of tenure for that whole area.

So that is the six rapid builds. The six traditional builds coming down, discussed at the Bray Municipal District, is Cedar Court and Ard na Gréine only on the areas that are zoned housing. There was a discussion in Ard na Gréine that we might flip over and create a greater number of units but it wasn't acceptable at the time. So we are just going ahead with what was
currently zoned housing. Rathdrum Phase 2 that is extension of the site that $I$ show you pictures where that is at the moment, another 18 units.

In NewtownmountKennedy in the Mountain View estate, we are looking at that area for 16 units. And then, of course, we have Three Trouts for 34 units. These six schemes for the approved housing that have been listed there as the approved housing body sector, is that these are infill sites again that are yielding less than ten units. It is not an efficient use of our resources to develop sites less than 10 units. So, therefore, we are considering, seeking an expression of interest from a group housing bodies who will develop these schemes.

We have done due diligence on them and taken up feasibility point of view, checking that they are runners and they may be acceptable to the Department. But then we would hope to maybe just give them to the approved housing body sector to move them on so we can concentrate on the bigger sites.

The last two units that we are just currently running with, is the Burgage in Blessington. Irish Water have now committed to do the upgrade works on the sewage treatment plant in Blessington. It was never a capacity issue, it was a water quality issues. And those works I believe will be starting fairly soon.

So what we are doing is we are going to include those 50 units within our scheme, within our projections for the future because that work should be finished by 2020. So hopefully we will have progressed the four stage process on the Burgage site.

I have also included in there Sheep House and Hinahask in Arklow because if the sewerage scheme gets sorted out in Arklow those schemes would produce 40 plus units. In fact both of those sites would probably give us a total of eighty units.

So then just - just a recap, Wicklow Local Authority New Build Priority List 1 and List 2 we need 677 units and the other recon-nisms would give 650, a total of 1,327. Again, just to say that this isn't al1 presentation that we actually are out on site. So, I am going to give you a couple of slides so you can see where we are at, you can see firsthand. This is
Delaney Park in Arklow and that is due for completion in December.
There is a lot of civil works currently happening on that site.

Kilbride Lane, as I said the contract was open, was signed in April and the site has now been cleared and is ready to go. At the moment they are going to work on the enabling works. As you know there is a lot of
neighbours and boundary issues associated with that and we are very keen that those works would be done initially to make sure that the residents and local community were happy with what we were doing.

I think I must have slipped through Avondale Heights, seems to have gone off the slide. Avondale Heights is a particularly nice development within Rathdrum. Currently they are at roof level on most of those units. That will be coming back to us in November. So, 16:16 thank you very much.

CATHAO RLEACH Before the Members speak, I have a proposal to extend to half past five, is that agreed? COUNCI LLORS: Agreed, yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor B7ake.
COUNCI LLOR BLAKE: Thanks Breege for the presentation and it is certainly good to see that we are progressing anyway provided people with much needed houses and deserve our best. Just two quick questions.

First of all, you talk about the context of the infill locations you had there and the difficulties of providing houses where there would be less than 10 units and probably do the economics of it. Talk about the approved housing bodies. It is not everywhere in the county that approved housing bodies would operate. So I am just wondering is there a possibility that the building trade out there could be used to provide us with housing in those infill sites?

And the second question is in relation to the purchase, I think the purchase has worked very well so farm, quite a number of on your screen there earlier on. I think we do to pressurise the Department to provide additional funding for the purchase of houses out there, maybe even old stock and any new stock as well in that regard. So thanks for the presentation and good to see but just those two points with regard to the future, thanks very much.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Tommy Cullen.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Yes, Chairman, I am sure you will welcome, like myself, the announcement of houses for Baltinglass in Dunlavin and Blessington and they are certainly welcome and perhaps we will get some word about a rural housing. I don't see rural housing because there is a need for rural housing, one off rural housing. I don't see that on the Agenda and that was a very important part of rural infrastructure and people keeping areas alive for people on low incomes who otherwise would have to move into the town and live outside of the area where they lived. So, I would like to see some inclusion back in there for rural housing.

The other thing is, Chairman, I am a bit concerned about the reliance on private sector for housing, particularly the voluntary groups because there is very little. My concern would be that the voluntary groups would be very much cherry picking who their tenants
will be, based on an income level and perhaps even social background. And I would like to see that we don't see any cherry picking of people on the waiting 1ist. That the people who will be allocated houses, whether voluntary, will be strictly done on the point or has that been approved by the Department? We have some details about that, Chairman, thank you.

CATHAOI RLEACH Councillor Lawless.
COUNCI LLOR LAVLESS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Thanks, actually to see that, you know, hopefully we will have, you know, those many houses, you know, coming online in the future. And it is good to see some progress
happening it is badly needed it is just a pity that planning takes so long and we can't get stuff built a little bit quicker.

Just in regards to the land bank assessment, I know as Chair of LTAC, we had requested that a land bank assessment as well for travellers accommodation. And you are saying there 800 homes you could build within that land bank that you have assessed. And I am just wondering does any of that include future build for maybe group housing for travellers, as I am sure yous are aware because of the housing crisis, we are seeing an increase in wicklow of roadside encampments now more so. So, it would be good to know where the traveller accommodation fits into that programme as well.
Breege, thank you very much.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor McDonald.
COUNCI LLOR MCDONALD: Thank you, Breege for the presentation. I have just two questions, the first one is very simple, would we be able to get a copy of that presentation, it is hard to take in everything as you are saying it.

The second one is just around the Irish water Dependent Schemes, the one in Arklow in particular. When the treatment plan comes on rather than if but because of what you were saying earlier about the four hoops that we had to jump through and I know they have been reduced drastically already. With the planning
permission due if I am right to go in for submission at the end of July 2018 treatment plant. Once that has been approved, is there any scope that we could start looking then at progressing that scheme that you are talking about rather than waiting for the treatment plant to come online and then in light of the fact that we are four years almost into the current schemes that we have and we haven't turned a key in a door yet. That maybe we could look at progressing the scheme in conjunction with the building of the treatment plant. or at least you can get those hoops, a couple of them knocked off before we have to wait until it is actually operational before we start looking at housing schemes, thank you.
CATHAOI RLEACH Councillor Matthews.
CONNCI LLOR MATTHEVE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach and thanks for the presentation, Breege. I have no doubt you are doing your best but until local authorities get back to building a lot more houses a lot more quickly than is happening at the moment we are never going to meet the demand that we have for housing in this country.

The Minister gave the response there to a question recently, he says it has taken 59 weeks for this four stage process. And each step each four steps of the way you have to go back to the Department and submit your work and have your homework checked. That must be hugely frustrating for a local authority that has the inhouse ability and competence and experienced staff to
do that work. That 59 weeks and that is just before you get to award a tender, that is before a shove1 even goes in the ground. So, like what are we talking about 18 months possibly there, maybe longer two year from initiation to completion.

So the question I have is, if you didn't have go back to the Department at each of those four stages how much quicker than that 59 weeks do you think we could do if we had just the local authority had to do? Thanks, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Kennedy.
COUNCI LLOR KENNEDY: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I think Councillor Cullen and Councillor Blake probably touched on it. But the question I wanted to ask about this but just two things I would like to ask Breege and Breege first of all I would like to thank you for the presentation, it is very in depth and very knowledgeable and if you could circulate it, it would be brilliant because there is a lot of information there. But one of the things you said in relation to the land banks, you said there was 180 sites and they were mostly infill sites. I am just wondering what 1and bank is available to the Council in total and what unit could be provided in the total land bank that Council do own?

And the other one would be in relation to one off rural houses. I actually think there is a need for one off
rural housing and I think the Council do need to get back to building one off rural housing. And I think they probably can be provided to the Council an awful lot cheaper than building in an urban area, as that site would be getting transferred for a nominal fee.

I don't know what the thinking behind it is but it doesn't seem to be on the radar anywhere. And I would like to see it put back somewhere for the one off rural housing been looked at.

And the other thing would be, do we know how many rural people are actually on the housing list? Thank you. CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Bourke. COUNCI LLOR BOURKE: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I would just like to congratulate Breege on the progress that she is making. I know it is very slow because I think it is three years ago Breege, since south wicklow councillors first pressurised you to do something for the Arklow area and the Rathdrum area. Thankfully it is leading the charge, anyway 43 units which is being built currently which is about 95 percent of the early delivery of the programme that you are doing.

I am very pleased that the Three Trouts site is being utilised because that cost about €3 miliion. I remember the year I was Cathaoirleach that was a very controversial issue. So, it is nice to see that three acre site finally being put to some use in the near
future.

Land purchase, a question mark there should you be considering looking to purchase some more land to increase the land bank available to you? If you do deliver on this, you have built 13 hundred homes in the next say two to three years, you may have to keep going and will you be considering a land purchase?

And again I just want to say that the old Gate in Arklow I don't know if it is on your list there, it is under the radar, that would be welcome as well to have that tidied up. Thank you very much.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor walsh. COUNCI LLOR WALSH Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Thanks,
Breege, for the presentation, some very good news
there. Could I just one particular query there on your Priority List 2, where you are proposing to deliver 44 units, commencing in 2019. You have included in that Carrig villas in Greystones six units. Now, it is my understanding that that site, that is a green open space unit, maintained regularly. Is this the site I am thinking of, has there been consultation, has consultation taken place with the residents regarding this proposed development?
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Fitzgerald. COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD. I just want to thank Breege for the presentation and the fact there is 47 houses on the way in the Arklow Municipal District and that compares
to the last house that was built in Arklow outside of what was purchased was 2007, 11 years and that there is 71 more houses promised over the next few years.

You might think this is a peculiar question but where is the houses going to go (inaudible) through the town? whereabouts is it? Are they not the houses that the voluntary body are offering to us out the Blainroe in Arklow? They are definitely not, no. I wonder where it is?

ME. KENEDY: I probably address the question, close to Lid1. I will show you.
CONNC LLOR FI TZGERALD. -- ten year ago. I thought it might have been the hopefully go the main road in Arklow - (inaudible)
CATHAO RLEACH Any other questions, Pat?
COUNCI LLOR FI TZGERALD. Emoclew is welcome spelt
backwards, I don't exactly know where them houses are.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Snell.
COUNCI LLOR SNELL: Thanks to Breege for the
presentation and could I just thank Breege and the housing section for their continued hard work. And I am supposed to thank them on behalf of all the Municipal Districts because that was so important through all the various stages Priority 1 where we had Municipal Districts and each of the 32 councillors. And again for the Members to highlight the fact that there is a folder of 20 sites, we are not sitting back
on our laurels. That they are actually being proactive in looking towards 2020, 2021. And I think it is great to be able to sit here and commend, I suppose, at one stage we didn't have architects, quantity surveyors, technicians and even admin to progress these projects. And now we are in a position where you have listed nine of them. And I suppose it is one of the busiest sections of wicklow County Council. I see some of them sitting up in the gallery there and I want to commend them for the hard work.

I do share a concern like other Members in regard to one off rural housing but I think you explained that under utilising the resources we have in regard to the same amount of work would go into a bigger project of 20 units or 40 units, sometimes a one off house would take up the same resources and still would have to go through the same painstaking planning process or even the funding through the Department.

So, I think the priority now is for the majority to try and get as many units as possible. I think the numbers that you have produced there today is very very positive for people who are on the housing waiting list.

I think the bigger issue from a housing prospective at the moment is, in regard to the increasing rise in rents from private properties. Something that we have
no authority over but certainly that something that needs to be addressed at national leve1. And in regard to Part 5 s and the approved housing bodies, I think we have to engage with everybody and certainly to increase our own housing stock, I have 100 percent fate in what is happening within the housing section and the people who are doing a tremendous job there.

And as I say some of these units can't come a day too soon for people who are out there struggling in regards 16:31 to the private rental market.

I think that something that hasn't been acknowledged there, is the amount of acquisitions that has happened over the last three years within wicklow County
Council. So again just to commend the housing section. CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Cullen, Shay.
COUNCI LLOR S. CULLEN Thanks, Chairman, I will be brief. Just, first of all well done Breege on the work that is being done through the housing department.

Just a couple of things there, if you could give us a progress report on the convent lands in wicklow, how that is progressing? And has been previously said the purchasing of new houses, are we - are we trying to purchase houses in all across the county or in particular areas that we are trying to focus our efforts. Obviously there will be the housing list, the major towns are obviously harder affected with regards
to numbers on the housing list. I am just curious to know what their plans are on that, thank you. ME. K LKENN: I will just try and go down through them in the order in which the questions arise.

Councillor Blake in-fill sites local community purchase even of old stock. Yeah, even the purchase of the old stock, that comes under the buy and renew, if we can get them and renew them. Now, there is caps that the Department have issued. If we can buy a unit and get off the market the amount of works that you would have to do on it to bring it up to a standard, we could proceed with those. So that there is actually, there is actually a scheme there and as I said my colleague Declan is looking at that.

Land bank information, yes, the site there the Three Trouts one, that is the site we are talking about and that was the subject of the CPO. The development is it is not because of flooding it is because of open space. We are actually on let us say the more elevated part of the site anyway. And the density indicated on the County Development Plan for the Greystones area is actually what is determining the number of units on
that sites which is 34 .
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN we11, Chairman, I asked the question about the other 20 acres of land bank that the Council own at Charlesland.

ME. KI LKENY: Oh yes, sorry. That land and also land in Lott Lane in Kilcoole, we are looking at various options to develop that. We are in discussions with the Department at the moment, looking at the various ways to optimise the amount of units that we can get from those sites. Those discussions are ongoing and we 16:34 will be back to you but now in particular we will be back to the Greystones Municipal District, bearing in mine the conversations that occurred earlier.

COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Just one small question I forgot to ask, how much to date has been the remediation cost of the flooding of the Glen Ding Estate in Blessington? M. Kl LKENN: Close to €4 million, yeah.

Councillor Lawless, yes, we are - on our new Priority 2 schemes we are trying to incorporate no group
facilities for the travelling population. We are also looking at some of the, let us say the smaller sites might be more suitable to allow the good housing scheme up to about five units, yeah.

Councillor McDonald, yeah, you can have a copy of the presentation. Yes, with Irish Water moving along with their plans, we would hope and discussed this briefly with the Chief Executive and there might be some scope
to progress the schemes when we know this waste water treatment plant will be there eventually. Yes, we will take in those two schemes.

Councillor Matthews, frustrating again. Four stage approval process - very much so. But having said all of that to be fair to the Department four stage approval process came in because of the public spending code which is actually coming from Europe. And it was for the Ireland Inc had to demonstrate that, you know, they were tightening their belt for want of a better word. So the Department of Housing, Planning and Environment were working on what was coming from DPER, that is the Department of Public Expenditure and Reform.

And having said all of that because we are a pub1ic body we have been audited and the recent auditors that came into the Council because we have gone through the four stage approval process, when we took our scheme in Arklow, read through it and see that we followed every single issue, complete transparency in terms of how we procured consultants and contractors. So, we got a very clean bill of health from that. So, that is the only saving grace I would say on it. I do think there three stage approval process. But on top of that again, as discussed at SPC leve1, the rapid builds on our larger sites, we would hope that once we get the
first one up and running, which is the one in whitehall, we have our unit types. We know what we are talking about, we should be able to move those faster. And what I would say to date is that prior to the review of four stage approval process, sometimes we are 16:38 awaiting an inordinate amount of time for the Department to respond back to us. They are now stuck to a four week, that is a maximum they will get and so far everything we sent to them they are returning it within four weeks. I am hoping they have had changes 16:38 in staff that they are not going to condition those approvals for us which means more work on top of us. But I have to say we have been working very well with the Department, so I would be confident we can probably improve on the 59 weeks for a lot of our rapid build priority to projects.

Councillor Bourke the Tree Trouts. Sorry, I am not quite sure, oh yeah, you were just acknowledging. Just to say we haven't submitted a Stage 1 approval yet and they will require approval from the Department. So there was a bridge structure required there as well. so it is - but we - it is our plan to have that within - submitted to the Department within the next couple of months.

Councillor walsh, yeah, it is an open space area, Councillor. What we were thinking on that because it may go to the approved housing body, it might be
suitable for elderly or something to that, on that effect. But I have asked the team to consult with the Municipal District first. So that is at the early stages and we would only be submitted that project if we think it is going to be a runner, okay. And it is on1y a smal1 number of units, so 1 am not really, $I$ am not giving it much consideration at the moment. COUNCI LLOR KENNEDY: Just to come in there, at the Municipal meeting we had with yourselves I think it was back in November, we looked at a number of sites and prioritised sites I think at the time. They were included in the list for a number of sites which were deemed to be, you know, open spaces, I know Bracken Park was mentioned and this particular site. I thought we moved away from that and we were concentrating on sites like Lott Lane and the one in Charlesland.

MS. K LKENN: I think one of the other questions from the floor was that the land bank and the 800 units. That is part of the reason why it is at 677 because a lot of that land is in existing open space and from previous Council meetings and the Municipal District, we are trying to stay away from what opens on opened space, the only thing we are looking at is might be zoned housing. And there was a small section of that site which said "residential".

But as I said to you, we are looking at it maybe for elderly, one of the approved housing bodies may take it on as a scheme for the elderly. But we will be back to
you.
MS. K LKENN: Councillor Sne11, yes, I think that whole issue of the Part 5, that is really where social housing should be. It should be part of bigger developments and therefore we are not, we are not creating huge estates and that social housing is peppered within - within private development.

Councillor shay cullen, that was the convent land. They are down now to three preferred bidders, in relation to that site. And they are pretty confident that they will get strong tendering. And as I said it is due to commence in November. But remember it is one of six sites, two in Dublin, one in Kildare, one in wicklow, one in Louth.

The purchases of new houses, we were looking at purchasing of houses where it is addressed to the need. But like those numbers that are there at the moment, a lot of it is dictated by the Department, for instance you know they really only want us to buy eight units this year. we pushed it out to 20. And we are actually at that level at the moment. So depending on how things are towards the end of the year we will be going back to them and saying can we buy a few more. Because even though 45 is great for us, we would like to be able to deliver a few more social houses by the end of 2018.

I think I have answered everybodies questions.
COUNCI LLOR: Rural housing, Chairman. CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Bourke. COUNCI LLOR BOURKE: Could I just ask a supplementary question there if I could. why do you design in some estates cycle lanes and not in others?

ME. KI LKENN: Sorry?
COUNCI LLOR BOURKE: why do you include designs for the cycle lanes in some estates and exclude them in others? I noticed for example an estate in Arklow were taking a 16:43 charge to include cycle lanes but I don't see anything designed into any of our new schemes or very few of them, why is that? Given the talk about cycling and healthy living and lifestyle changes, we should be taking on board, why are we not leading the way in cycling in our estates?

And secondly, do we have enough architects to actually deliver on all the projects? A rumour went around last week we are losing architects, I don't know if that is true or not, if that is the case. Can we get others to finish the job?

ME. K LKENN: Yeah, just in relation to cycle lanes, if I were to take the Kilbride Lane site in Bray, 42 units there was actually no space for a cycle lanes there. But what I will say to you, we are looking at everything that is within the Department guidelines which is quality homes. So where we have the space all those issues will be taking into account.

In relation to the architects, yes unfortunately we've lost three architects but we have had a competition already and two people have been offered the job at the moment and we created a panel. So hopefully those positions will be filled fairly quickly. But we have enough at the moment to be - to be pressing on with what we have got.
CATHAD RLEACH Two councillors want to come back in there --
ME. KI LKENY: oh yes, rural houses.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Rura 1 houses, Chairman.
ME. KI LKENY: There is, as you know our pay masters are the Department and if they are willing to approve these, we have no problem in putting them forward. But like that again as Councillor Snell has indicated, the amount of time and effort, we still have to go through the four stage process for one single unit. So at the moment it is not really considered an efficient use of our resources but I would say there, you know, schemes can be put forward but the department aren't really keen on the funding, one off houses, in rural areas at the moment. We have no particular issue with it but there is no funding there at the moment.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Kennedy.
COUNC LLOR KENNEDY: Yeah, thank you, Cathaoirleach.
It is just a follow up on Councillor cullen's question. So, Breege, can I take what you are saying is that the Department are not funding one off rural houses?

ME. K LKENN: No, they are not at the moment. COUNCI LLOR KENEDY: They are not funding it?
ME. K LKENN: They are not funding it.
COUNCI LLOR KENEDY: okay, thank you.

CATHAO RLEACH Thanks, Members. I will now take the
16:45 two suspension standing orders which I believe will be quite brief. We still have a couple of further presentations to do before half past five, thank you. Councillor Matthew, I think you already read it out, just read it again? if Members approved it.
CATHAOI RLEACH Read it out again.
Mb. GALLAGER: I have it here.
COUNCI LLOR MATTHEVE: Can you read it out please, Lorraine, thanks.

ME. GALLAGER: That Wicklow County Council requests the Department of Education Skills to engage and communicate with Educate Together North wicklow Educate Together on progress to be made and the likely time for establishing a new school on the site announced in July 2017.

CATHAO RLEACH Is that agreed by the Members?
MS. GALLAGER: Can I have a seconder?
COUNCI LLOR LAVZESS: I will second.
MG. GALLAGER: Councillor Lawless. Is that agreed? COUNCI LLOR: Agreed.
MS. GALLAGER: Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor McDonald.

COUNCI LLOR MCDONALD: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Just a very brief history of what has happened on the North Beach in Arklow there. Going back a couple of years there was one particular right of way's gate was closed but we succeeded in having that one reopened just by talking to the relevant parties at the time.

But over the last 15 to 16 months there have been substantial blockages of rights of way from Sea Road, the back of Beresford Terrace down to the North Beach. We have had some correspondence back from Minister Moran to see that he is going to supply us with funding to do the studies, the reports that he requested both of the North Beach and on the (inaudible) in wicklow with a view to hopefully providing the funding that is needed. We are talking millions of taxpayers money that is going to be potentially spent in that area to install groins and reinstate the breach and the walkway that has been damaged over the years.

And the fear of the vast majority of the people in the town, especially those around Beresford Terrace area, Clunard and Sea Road, having spent that amount of money on the area, that we are going to be denied access to the beach. There is no other access to this particular 16:47 beach other than these rights of ways which have been they have been in situ some of them over one hundred and fifty years. And they have been and they are used on a daily basis. The latest, if you like, object that
that has been put in place, a fence down beside a piece of land there, private own land, right down to the rock armour, with signage about not passing the point. We understand it is dangerous over there at the moment but that is down to the individuals, personal assessment whether they want to take a risk or not. But the fact that these walkways and these rights of ways have been closed off potentially blocking off access to that whole North Beach - like has been there for 150 years.

The residents have been in contact with the Council and we did receive one report there going back to a question that was asked last year and we understand that file has been closed. But there was a Planning Enforcement complaint form submitted I think around the 16:48 4th or 5th of April and we were just looking for a response to that. I know we can't obviously go into details but what I suppose the residents in the area and the residents of Arklow and the whole wider tourism in the Arklow area, we are looking for some sort of commitment from the Council that they will have a serious look at this particular issue with a view to resolving it, having the rights of ways opened. And maybe listing the rights of ways throughout the whole county because we feel if this particular issue is
allowed to continue when it is not resolved, that it is going to set a precedent for rights of ways right across the county and perhaps the country. That is something that we can't allow to happen.

So, if the management would maybe just give us a brief outline of what they propose to do or what they can or can't do, at least we will have some answers and we know where we can go from there and where to take it if they are not satisfactory, thank you.

CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Bourke.
COUNCI LLOR BOURKE: I would like to add my support to Councillor McDonald's looking for support from the management. It was a matter I raised a couple of weeks 16:50 ago as well. And I would say that there is unanimity amongst the public in Arklow that these rights be left open or returned to be open for use by the public, because it goes back for generations. And many people are very upset about it. I am getting representations on a daily basis about it. So, I really hope that we can do something to address this problem.
MR. QU RKE: Thanks, Chairman. I am pretty familiar with the site myself. Just to differentiate on a couple of issues. We did have a complaint last year in 16:50 terms of what you mentioned and that file is closed as you say. There was a further complaint that we received in April that related to a caravan which is not the same issue either. And that caravan isn't occupied actually so it is probably a side issue.

The complaint that we did receive a complaint on the 22nd of may about the fencing and so on. And also like Councillor Fitzgerald and that is an active file at the
moment, so I don't really want to prejudice any investigation that is going on. But whilst I accept, I fully accept because I am very familiar with it that people say, well always was a right of way there and so on, we have got to prove it. We have got to get the evidence on the file before we make a decision to take any enforcement action. And I think almost worse to take enforcement action and fail rather than take no action at all. I am not saying take no action at all is an option. That file is open at the moment. We hopefully have a site visit investigation during the current week. We then have to make a decision as to whether we have enough evidence or not. And it may well be the Members will be able to provide evidence to this and that is useful because, you know the body we have all the evidence on our files here. I think that could be useful, so that is where it is at the moment. It is an open active file at the moment.
CATHAO RLEACH Thanks, Members. Go onto item 10. To adopt the Flood Risk Management Plans proposed by the OPW as part of the CFRAMs programme, in accordance with Section 20 of (SI No. 122 of 2010) of the European Communities.
MR. DEVEREUX: Thank you very much, Members. I will give a very short presentation, it is complex but I think sum it up here. The sea fans were presented to yourselves back in late 2016 by the OPW and on numerous occasions when yourselves and Members of the public had opportunities to comment on that action seafront, the
draft plans and there were multiple meetings throughout 2017, with the likes of (inaudible). With the results that the OPW have considered the draft plans and the comments and on the 14th of March 2018 the OPW formally adopted the Floor Risk Management Plans. This lead to 40,000 flood masts being published, these previously were published in draft form. And 39 Flood Risk Management Plans. All this information is on floodinfo.ie and is available to the public. Has been available in draft form for some time and is now the published as the Flood Risk Management Plans. The Minister for Public Expenditure approved the FRMPs on the 16th of April 2018. On the 3rd of May Minister Boxer Moran announced this approval for a press release.

On the 9th of May 2018 Johnson \& (inaudible) sent these Flood Risk Final plans to wicklow County Council formally and reminding the County Council that under the regulations the Council was to adopt them. And Johnson pointed out that the information required was on the website floodinfo.ie.

There are four Flood Risk Management Plans that relate to Wicklow. They are designed around the catchments being previous life of the (inaudible) management plans had these replacements and we followed that format where developing those plans. So, we have four plans and if you are looking on the website search for those
four plans will get you the detail for wicklow. The adopted plans now contain proposed measures. Again these were discussed in draft format in the draft plans and they have resulted in 10 possible schemes for
wicklow, that is 10 out of 118 schemes that were nationally proposed. That is like quality for quality, lists actually 11 schemes. But the Bray schemes, the last one on the list is deemed completed, so there are 10 further schemes which now are available for either the County Council or the OPW to progress. The way that the OPW (inaudible) greater than $€ 15$ million in value, the OPW will progress themselves directly. Those that are between $€ 1$ million and $€ 15$ million the opw will meet with the local authorities and discuss ways of progressing but generally it is felt that the local authorities will be asked if not to assist well then to progress the projects. Those less than $€ 1$ million are (inaudible) the OPW regard as low cost works and they have a shortened system of funding then and they receive funding from that system. That is generally up to $€ 750,000$. But we have been told as of this morning is that the scheme is between $€ 750,000$ and $€ 1$ million will be considered for the low cost scheme even thought the formal $€ 750,000$ they consider the schemes.

The schemes that are remaining that are divided into three categories. Those in wicklow fall into the three categories. The first category is those that
design the planning or pending instruction. And Arklow falls into that category and has already been announced by the minister will be receiving funding and progressing to planning later this year.

The other schemes listed here as Ashford and Rathnew, Avoca, Baltinglass, Blessington, Greystones and environs and Wicklow, will be - they are proposed schemes and they will receive funding if we wish to progress them or the OPW will look at progressing them themselves. It is quite likely they'11 come to us.

There is three further schemes which arising out of the CFRAMs, they are listed as for cost review and what that means is that they didn't come out of the system. They didn't come out of the formal process as being as cost beneficial as the OPW require. That means they didn't exceed the 1.5 times, the cost - the benefits as being one and a half times the cost of the scheme. Those three schemes are still on a list of possible schemes and the OPW had indicated that if we address them and we can come up with better cost values or make them somehow better value, they will reconsider them. They are still on the list of possible schemes, to be honest they are less likely to get funding than the other seven that I listed off, sorry six mainly that I listed off. Seven if you include Arklow.

That is the detail of the schemes. Ashford and Rathnew
and Wicklow have actually been grouped together as the one scheme because that scheme is significant and by grouping it together to one scheme it makes it a significant size. Ashford, Rathnew and wicklow one scheme and then Avoca, Baltinglass, Blessington and Greystones schemes on their own right.

This is the process that the opw set out in the beginning of this e-mailed process. They are now at the end of the first of the orange phase, where the Flood Risk Management Plans have been approved by the OPW and need now to enter Phase 2 which is the Flood Relief Scheme Development, which is what we concentrated on the scheme.

The next steps for ourselves are that the Section 20 of the SI 122 of March 2010 is that it states the Local Authority will adopt the plans. That is the full text and the end of the first paragraph:
"The County Council and Local Authority shall within three months examine and consi der the FI ood Ri sk Management Pl ans of which there is a carbon copy and deci de whet her to adopt or ot herwi se said pl an".

So we got them on the 9th of May, we had them discussed at the SPC meeting on the 28 th of May. And I now propose them at this meeting on the 11th of June. I recommend that wicklow County Council adopt the Four

Flood Risk Management Plans, Liffey, Varty, Slaney, The Barrow as published on wwwfloodinfo.ie in accordance with Section 20 of SI 122 of 2010, European Communities (Assessment and Management of Flood Risks) Regulations 2010.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay, Councillor Bourke. COUNCI LLOR BOURKE: Yeah, I would be happy to propose those Marc but I am just concerned about Avoca, I was looking at the map for Avoca. It didn't seem to extend up as far as white Bridge where flooding has occurred and does occur at white bridge where siltation occurs just on the north side of the bridge at white Bridge and it is a concern there because there is a small community living there.

Can you just confirm viewing the section that you are putting, proposed for Avoca there and half a kilometre of dredging that would it extend up to white Bridge or not.

CATHAOI RLEACH Councillor Fortune.
COUNCI LLOR FORTUNE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Thanks, Marc, for your presentation. Just two questions come to the Greystones environs, I don't have the exact detail in front of me. For example, Holywell in kilcoole where it is now been classified as a flood plain and people are finding it difficult for insurance purposes. It never was a flood plain and I know that because I live actually right there. It was never a flood plain in my lifetime. It was more got to do with
the construction of the site and the way the river was interfered with.

Now, no later than this morning have communications from some of the residents there. For example, an engineer has informed them and this communication is with the Local Authority, that there is a missing door on the attenuation outflow tank. And there is culbrits (sic), the small size of the culbrits is an issue. And inefficient road drains in front of some of the house. And this would relate directly to the massive issue that they had there I think it is going two, two and a half years ago now, that is a concern that needs to be addressed because people that basically in that estate are living in dread, not everybody there is about 10/11 17:01 houses are living in dread that if we get a monsoon of rain that there is a problem.

Now, the developer has been in and out there doing various works but it would appear that the works that really need to be done are not being done. So that really needs urgent attention, $I$ would think. And just curious on the second point I want to make, just on the Three Trouts, has there been a reassessment of the flood threat or the flood potential in the Three Trouts 17:01 because what is coming, stuff I was looking at seems to be different than what for example was in the arbitration agreement between when the Council acquired the 1and. So I wonder how that has happened? And the
reason I am asking it is because I happened to attend the High Court and the case was going on and it formed a fairly in-depth part of the case. And there as obviously some Council officials there as well, obviously know what I am saying to be factual. I am just wondering why that change is. Now, I know that Breege was telling us earlier the way she was going to develop the site. But that has been - was - is a flood plain. And it would appear it is after being adduced and I am just curious now how that can be done and why is it being done? what is the logic of it? Because there are, as you will probably have Marc yourself, I know from the history of the case and that, there are various reports in your possession that confirms that it is a serious flood plain.

They would be the two queries I would have on it that would relate to my area. And obviously I would like those to be addressed, if possible, you know.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Matthews. COUNCI LLOR MATTHEVG: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. During the Bray scheme we had an Environmental Monitoring Committee and it actually confirmed the whole flood works and it seemed to work very we11. I wonder if we could consider as a Council to include Environmental Monitoring Committee when we do flood schemes. It is conditioned in by An Bord Pleanala, if it is a case that it is on we should (inaudible) and I think happy to second Councillor Bourke's proposal or his - thanks,

Chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Tommy Cullen.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Chairman, can I have something about the Slaney and Baltinglass and relieviation works proposed there on that.

And Chairman, just to finish up, you then have the arbitration hearing, sorry not the arbitration, you have Mr. O'Buachala's valuation where he says up to 35
to 40 percent of that site is a flood plain. But at the arbitration hearing Mr. O'Buachala or sorry Mr. Esmonde Keane Sc for Council said that 50 percent of the site was a flood plain.

And then, Chairman, on that area you have this one report on flooding. Two reports on flooding. Three reports on flooding of this Three Trout. Four reports and there is Mario Cassidy. Now Ms. Gallagher there, at the meeting, is in the High court, she is fully aware of all this information about the flooding. But - of that area. So what - what proposals have you got to deal with that because it would be a very serious matter to put anyone's lives at risk and someone coming from the Baltinglass sector area, where in my own area in my time three children have drowned in Council houses they were built on a flood plain. One aged nine, one aged eight and one boy aged five was taken away from his front yard because of the river overflowed. I am acutely aware of flooding.

So what is the story with regard to the Three Trouts Stream because it is a very contentious issue. But all the volume of evidence and all the reports including your own Mr. Devereux and Fergal Keogh's say there is a 17:06 significant problem with flooding on that field. And I was interested to hear earlier when I heard that there is going to be 34 houses built there. And yet the Council have a huge land bank beside it I don't see any
proposal for. Butt can you issue about the Slaney first and then you might deal with what plans are there to alleviate the flooding on that particular site because we are talking about building a bridge and there is huge environmental issues about building a bridge over one of the most important national salmonoid rivers in the country which is the Three Trout Stream as outlined by the Department of Fisheries.

MR. DEVEREUX: Councilior Bourke, as far as I am aware there is information that has become available from the OPWs assessment of the Avoca River at White Bridge. We previously put in a low cost works application and got some funding. And there is nothing stopping us putting in a further application for funding.

When we are working on the scheme in Avoca which is the scheme which has been published as a draft scheme in that proposal in the Flood List Management Plans is around the area lower down, lower part of Avoca itself. 17:07 But we can always look at white Bridge again and see can we have it included. The idea behind having the plans approved is that it is open to us to sit down and reassess. And the wording of the document itself, the next phase would be to assess the scheme as proposed and if necessary refine it. At that stage we can raise it again. Works in Avoca itself that would improve conveyance around the bridge in Avoca will have the benefit of the streams so hopefully we might seek to
have white Bridge included and assessed as part of it. I am not ruling it out, there is an opportunity to readdress white Bridge when we look at Avoca. I would be suggesting to the OPW that they - they haven't ruled it out either. They have said it will be addressed, each scheme as we bring it forward down at a detailed level and we can include that at that stage.

In - Councillor Fortune in relation to Holywell, I am somewhat familiar but I am not the expert on Holywell, there is colleagues of mine who are more familiar with it. The works in Kilcoole include CFRAMs proposal in Kilcoole. It was done at a time before the works that were done recently by the developer. So the assessment of the river talking about Kilcoole and Holywell was done prior to the works of the developer recently. So what we can do as with white Bridge we can insure and we can check, first of all, approve in principle that Kilcoole - that stream will be assessed as part of the proposals. And we can check to see that the works, because the works that have been in done in recent times have changed the regime from what was there when we have RPS and we would have started out with CFRAM process.

In the interim RBS had to stop if you like at some point and say "this is it, this is what we are assessing and move to the next level and get the plan together". And in the interim things have changed
slightly. So, again, as with white Bridge what we will do if the schemes are approved we will make sure that there is a further survey carried out to check exactly what is there.

And the second thing if they are missing items and these have been referred to the district office -COUNCI LLOR FORTUNE: No, what the - what I have told you I got today has been submitted in here --
MR. DEVEREUX: oh into planning.
COUNCI LLOR FORTUNE: And it would appear that those basic things that they were done, it would actually perhaps solve the problem. But it has been going on now for over three years. It just needs the - there is - has been oodles and oodles of correspondence and it just needs to be grasped by somebody and sorted.
MR. DEVEREUX: Yeah, okay, I wil1 refer back to -COUNCI LLOR FORTUNE: Before we get a monsoon out of the hot weather.

MR. DEVEREUX: That particular area can be checked,

COUNCI LLOR FORTUNE: Very quick1y, without delaying you, it would appear that when the development was being done, that the river was altered and there was river gets big or you get a flood threat, nature being nature, it goes back and finds it natural home and ends up in four or five houses. That needs to be solved and

I am told it can be solved but someone just needs to get in and do it, you know.
MR. DEVEREUX: when we move into Phase 2 we can have that section checked.

And the question of Three Trouts, I will address that with Councillor Cullen's question if you like.

Councillor Matthews, your question each of these projects don't have to have a planning phase. Hopefully 17:11 some of them if not all or as many as we can progress through Part 8, once we hone in on the works and then when we have decided on the works and the works are well defined, we can decide whether it is a fully is procedure or they can go through Part 8. And, at that 17:11 stage, we can look at the public consultation on the project itself and might well be mechanism but certainly in the bigger schemes consider at that stage.

On Baltinglass near the bridge, there has been some works done under low cost schemes in previous years but what this study identified was that for a higher term period CFRAMs, greater than one hundred years that the scheme needs, augmentation and needs additional work. So there is works further works to be done in Baltinglass that haven't been addressed by minor works we have done in previous years. So what will happen is the whole area will be reassessed. Now that it has been identified as a project we get funding from the

OPW at a higher level. When I say "higher level" I mean more - more comprehensive assessment and hopefully we get funding.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Chair, the big problem is of course the silting coming down from the quarries being carried down through water and then catching against the bridge and just down the bridge. So when work is carried out after a couple of years the sand builds up again into little islands and that causes the problems with the flooding.

MR. DEVEREUX: That can be assessed.
CONNCI LLOR T. CULEN Unfortunately back in the 70's when the old railway bridge was taken out, a lot of the old railway bridge was bulldozed down into the edge of the slaney and the edge of the slaney on the Rathvilly side of the road down past Lathaleere, opposite Lathaleere, the level of the river bank was raised by about 8 foot, so that stopped the normal flood plain out onto the level ground there, heading down around the bad turn of the river, that flood plain was blocked off by the bulldozing of the level into the river, the railway line into the river, into the river bank. That raised the opposite, opposite the town side of the river bank all along that stretch, so that means water just came back into the town.
MR. DEVEREUX: That will be assessed as well.
Hopefully it will get funding, trying to get funding as part of this funding.

In relation to the Three Trouts Stream you yourself were present at the public consultant phase in Greystones and the OPW and RPS were present there. I think you mentioned it to them at that stage. And as far as I am aware they were certainly lead to believe by yourself that further assessment needed to be carried out on the Three Trout Stream. It is identified that field, that is identified subject to the Compulsive Purchase Order. It does experience flooding, that is established by the CFRAMs team. The 17:14 detail on that I am not as familiar with as I used to be because this has been reassessed and reconsidered by independent firm of consultants and guided by the OPW who are the experts in this area. So, while those reports may well have been based on certain data that 17:14 is available at the time. I would take the view that the OPW assessment in more recent times supercedes those reports.

We11, as far as I am aware, the OPW were made aware of those reports by yourself at the meetings in Greystones. In short, the question about houses being built there is a matter for the housing section and Breege Kilkenny did mention that in her presentation. And she dealt with the question that you raised about flooding. As far as I am aware the development of the housing scheme wi11 take into account both the CFRAMs and any previous reports that have been suggested, they will all be taken into account.

And as far as I am aware the housing section has identified areas that won't be in that field, there won't be construction carried out partly because of its densities.

COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Chairman, the local authority, the local authority act of 2000 basically forbids Councils building houses on areas subject to flooding. And it would be a - now the chief officer of the Department Mr. Augman in his letter raised serious concerns about the prospect of building on that site when the Council had adequate lands right adjacent to that that were not subject to flooding and they were zoned residential and still are. And, Chairman, in your own reports yourself and Mr. Keogh in the reports given to Donal O'Buachala you sent that contours where you could not build below was 17.5 metres of a contour.

Now, a11 I am just saying to you is that if building on that site goes ahead, it would go ahead against that background that this site is subject to very serious flooding. And the housing estate which is on the same contour level, Burnaby Lawns flooded at least four times in recent years and Ms. Gallagher is fully aware of this.

And so, therefore, in my view it would be bordering on recklessness to ignore to build houses in that same area, given that flooding history. And now where, for
instance, the Council had in Burnaby Lawns which is the same contour level that had to build a massive earthen ramps to keep the flooding out of that house. Which is directly on the opposite side of the stream within a few metres of this. So, if that came to pass and there 17:16 was a flooding incident, I just want to put the markers down here and have it recorded and it is being minuted and transcribed here today, that I raised these alarm bells here at this meeting and there is the transcript of the court hearing that the manager and the chief Executive must - must read. And Ms. Gallagher has all that, all those reports and access to all these reports.

So really what has been advocated is that building on that field is against, is not - it is - it is contrary to what I believe that safe practice of building on a flood plain. Because, as I said, I am not going to second guess the senior counsel advising this County Council, Mr. Esmonde Keane at the arbitration hearing. He described that site as a flood plain. And he so advised by you and Mr. Keogh as the respective engineers advising him. So, I am not going to second guess yous because that would be taken into account. I have to accept your professionalism then when you gave 17:17 him that advice and Mr. Keogh gave him that advice. And as a senior barrister he gave a statutory hearing of an arbitration that advice that this site was subject to very serious flooding.

So if the Local Authority, if this Authority was making a submission to the Department of the Environment on this site, I hope and I would expect that this time, that this time the Department would be getting the full information about the history of the flooding of this area. Thank you, Chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay, Members. I am just anxious there is a few more items on the Agenda.
MS. GALLAGER: A proposer and a seconder?
COUNCI LLOR WNTERS: You are out of time there, Chairman.

MS. GALLAGER: No, we extended the meeting. Seconded by Councillor Matthews, is it agreed?
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN No, Chairman I fully agree with the Baltinglass plant but --

COUNCI LLOR WNTERS: I thought you extended it to a quarter past five.
Mb. GALLAGER: Half past five.
COUNCI LLOR T. CULLEN Chairman, I would support, I want clarification on that Greystones business and I am cautioning the Chief Executive very seriously about what is going to be sent to the Department on this site. Thank you, Chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH We take a vote then, we don't have agreement on it. To adopt the Flood Risk Management Plan --

ME. GALLAGER: Proposed by Councillor Bourke and seconded by Councilior --

CATHAO RLEACH There is nobody here.
[ Vote Taken]
MS. GALLAGFER That is 13 for, five against and 13 not present. Thank you.
CATHAOI RLEACH Okay, Members. I have a few more items on the Agenda, quite short. One of them is Item 13 which has a deadline of submission by the 3rd of Ju7y. I am just going to take that briefly and hopefully I will get Marc back in on Item 11.
MR. GLEESON Good afternoon, Councillors. I will try 17:21 to be quick on this. Just regarding consultation document that was sent around regarding the new proposal for local government based on funding. Just to start off by giving you a brief overview on the relief process.

A working group was established by the Minister for Housing Planning and Local Government and took a review of the local government baseline funding. This is in paralle1 but separate to the local property tax review that is currently taking place by the Department of Finance. Already made a submission in that regard through the LGMA. Both reviews are expected to conclude by the end of August.

The baseline review involves a review of the methodology used for allocating local government funding baselines. And the review group wishes to consult with local stakeholders and provide them with
an opportunity to submit their views in relation to the factors and indicators that could be used to determine the local funding with such baselines.

As such the Department has requested all local authorities to bring this to the attention of their Members for consideration. And as I said the groups consultation document has been circulated in this regard.

Background to the current funding mode1. In 2000 to 2017 a resource mode1 was used as the main determinant for distributing local government funding to local authorities. Large number of expenditure and activity indicators were used, very complex mode1. The intention with the new model is to have fewer key indicators, provide more (inaudible) and transparent allocations.

In 2008 to 2014 the general purpose grants for 1argely based on pattern of allocation made over the previous years were small incremental adjustment made year on year. As you know in 2015 the general purpose grant was replaced by the local property tax.

The level of local property tax retained by the Local Authority each year is determined by historical baseline figure. I just have a table here which explains how it works. First line there 100 percent
allocation for wicklow 2018 was €17.1 million. After equalisation of 20 percent it reduces down to $€ 13.7$ million be retained locally. This is where the baseline kicks in. Our existing baseline is $€ 8.5$ million and when we subtract that from the 13.7 we are deemed to have a surplus of $€ 5.15$ million. That surplus is then split up between what we can retain for discretionary income as part of our revenue budget. And an element that is allocated to self fund county capital projects. The amount we have at the moment is $€ 1.7$ million, that is allocated to the self funding which is deducted from the $€ 13.7$ to bring us $€ 11.97$ mil1ion that is included in the Revenue budget.

So basically if the baseline is increased it
automatically reduces the amount of surplus allocated to the self funding element. So, for example if the baseline was increased from $€ 8.5 \mathrm{million}$ to $€ 9.5$ million, it would reduce the surplus figure by $€ 1$ mil1ion and the amount of local property tax retained in our revenue budget would increase to $€ 12.97$ million.

Just in relation to the baseline, just the baseline indicators, there should be available and applicable and measurable across all local authorities and we have 17:25 possible indicators which are outlined there. CATHAO RLEACH I am just conscious Item 11 we need to actually get it in before half 5 to put it on public display.
M. GALLAGER: Are Members agreeable for it to go on public display and come back to the elected --
MR. CURRAN They are byelaws for the presentation, they are essentially national byelaws and some tweaks for each individual county. But it is to put them on public display and back again for a debate and the views of the public.
COUNCI LLORS: Yeah.
MS. GALLAGER: Councillor Snel1 and seconded by Councillor --

COUNCI LLOR: Can I ask a question, can we change them afterwards?

COUNCI LLOR LAVLESS: Yeah, that is what I was going to ask.
CONCI LLOR: They will still up for discussion?
MR. CURRAN of course, yeah, absolutely. COUNCI LLOR: After they go on public display. MG. GALLAGER: Yeah.
MR. GEESON Possibly indicators that can be used in the new mode1 are demographics, they are the population, the population change, physical characteristics such as size, area, rural/urban divide, social economic indicators such as unemployment rates and income generating a capacity like commercial rates base.

The end result will be a combination of weighted indicators used to give more equitable baseline allocation.

So, to conclude, the reason why this exercise is so important to Wicklow County Council our Revenue budget for 2018 €95.7 million based on the most recent population figures. This gives a spend capital of €672. When compared to other local authorities we have a national ranking of 28 out of 31 local authorities in this regard.

As I said before the increase in the baseline resulted in the reduction of the surplus amount allocated self funding which will leave more to retain in the revenue budget. So we are making submissions to this, to the Department on this matter. And Members are also invited to submit their own proposals in this regard. 17:27 This can be done as a separate submission or if you wish to feed into the Executive proposal, you can do so, that is a decision for the Members. If it is feed in to our proposal we require this information probably in the next couple of weeks as the deadline for the submissions to the review group is the 3rd of July 2018.

So that concludes the presentation, if there is any questions.
CATHAOI RLEACH I would just like to make a proposal that came up during the budget meeting. I would like to propose that we retain the 20 percent property tax, that is retained within the county, I think about €3.1
million. And I make the proposal this Council makes a submission along those lines.
COUNCI LLOR: I second that.
MR. GLEESON That was included in the LPT proposal
that went forward. This submission is just in relation 17:28 to the baseline indicators.

CATHAO RLEACH You are saying it can't cover?
MR. GLEESON No, no. It is just in relation to
identifying specific indicators that can be used for determining the baseline allegation.
COUNCI LLOR W NTERS: So from your presentation, am I to understand you want your baseline to increase?

COUNCI LLOR WNTERS: Absolutely, yeah.
COUNCI LLOR MCLOUGLI N And sorry, through the Chair, can we see your proposal Brian so that we can have a
look --
COUNCI LLOR LAVLESS: Have a guideline.
COUNCI LLOR MCLOUGLI N Have some kind of guideline.
MR. CURRAN Take a submission between the Executive.
COUNCI LLOR MCLOUGHIN $N$ so we can see it before it
actually goes in so we can add to it.
MR. GLEESON whatever process you want, if you went to (inaudible) or if you want to wait until we put something together?
CONNCI LLOR MCLOUGHI NE I would like to see what you
are coming up with so that maybe we could - or maybe we don't need to at a11. Anyway to support you really, that is where I come from.
CONNC LLOR BEHAN Can $I$ ask a question, the figure at
the top there €17.1 million for 2018 is that an estimate of what wicklow people will pay on property tax this year?
MR. GLEESON Yeah, that was calculated by the Revenue Commissioners based on the number of properties that are eligible.

COUNCI LLOR BEHAN And is there a percentage of compliance?
MR GLEESON I think compliance is fairly high, it is 98 percent, 99 percent as far as I am aware.
CATHAO RLEACH Members, just before we finish I have a couple of other items I would just like to get through. Item 14 to note the draft annual report.
COUNCI LLOR LAVLESS: Yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 15 to consider a request from Óglaigh Náisiúnta na hÉireann Organisation of National Ex Service Personne1 to address Wicklow County Council at the Council Meeting on the 2nd of July.
COUNCI LLOR WNTERS: what is it about?
MS. GALLAGER: It is the National Organisation for Ex
Service personnel and they want to come to the Local Authority just to give an outline of their organisation. And they've also asked us to fly the fuschia flag for the month of July to support veterans of the Defence forces.
CATHAO RLEACH Just I mean the Chief Executive (inaudible) we missed it last month, another meeting just about to end, we missed this is May, we have April and May presented to us. I don't know whether people
have any comments. I certainly have one comment I would like to make and that is the housing vacancy list be included in it and the history of the housing vacancy list, in other words, the number of houses that are vacant. We started tracking this a few years ago it was at eighty. We had it every month, it went down to about 30. So I'd ask that be included in the manager's report, the number of vacant on a month by month basis with a history. Agreed?
COUNCI LLORS: Agreed.
CONVC LLOR BEHAN Now, chairman, apart from that just in relation to the housing issue, something that I wanted to bring up and we don't have a lot of time but Councillors may not be aware that we, as a county, are losing out on national funding for replacement of doors 17:31 and windows in all or our Council housing stock because we are still stuck at Phase 1 of the remediation of the energy efficiency works. The reason why we are still stuck on Phase 1 is that there are not enough engineering staff or staff within the housing department to actually get ahead and do a Phase 1.

Dublin local authorities are already on Phase 2, so their windows and doors of their houses are being replaced as we speak. We could be getting this funding 17:31 and we are not getting it because there are not sufficient staffing resources or else whatever is happening with regard to the allocation or deployment of staff is meaning that we are missing out on this
funding. And I would ask that that particular point is addressed in the next Chief Executive's report as well, Cathaoirleach.

MR. CURRAN I will answer that if you want now. we have a programme in place in terms of phase 1 and a firm of contracts. And also that there was a lot of (inaudible) promoted and retiring all being replaced. All those staff are being replaced as quickly as possible.
CATHAO RLEACH Councillor Dunne.
CONVC LLOR DUNE: Just there when we talk about staff there, I am just wondering what is the situation with outdoor staff. Because there seems to be within the Municipal District, a lot of work done and people leaving and not replaced. I am just wondering are we looking at outdoor staff at the moment? Municipal District talking to the overseer there is so much work to be done and they are struggling with staff. I am just wondering would the Chief Executive look into that situation and let us know what is the situation there, thank you.
Mb. GALLAGER: okay just before you go we have our annual meeting next Monday at 2 pm . And also a representative from the IDA Corporate Strategy and Planning will be here in accountabilities on Friday, this Friday at 11 am to present their focused strategy on planning, in the workshop and employment in 2040. so if elected Members are able to attend the workshop, maybe you just submit your name.

And just an elected Member asked that we write to Ardmore Studios and invite them to - the new owners to attend the meeting of Wicklow County Council, to give us information on their strategic plan. So, there has been a response from Ardmore Studios thanking the elected Members for their invitation. Ardmore Studios is now in its sixtieth year. The sale of Ardmore Studio, the statement by the then current owners it had been sold as a going concern and we are pleased this is the case. However, as I say, it has happened relatively recently, plans for the future and the development of the future studios are still being formulated. Thus as such it would be more appropriate to arrange a meeting when that process is complete.

So the CEO, Siún Ni Raghallaigh is saying she will be in touch with us when the time is right to share that vision with the elected Members.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay Members.
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| 124:9 | 131:3, 131:6, | 22:11, 23:29 | frightened [1] - | 116:19, 116:20, | 28:26, 35:26, |
| fits [1] - 94:15 | 131:29, 132:6 | 43:27, 68:4, | 56:16 | 117:3, 117:9, | 48:15, 48:23, |
| Fitzgerald [8] - | Floor [1] - 115:5 | 119:21, 126:8, | FRMPs [1] - | 117:25, 124:14, | 55:7, 57:27, 77:1, |
| 5:23, 14:15, | floor [1] - 106:18 | 126:11, 126:18, | 115:12 | 124:15, 127:29, | 134:20, 134:23 |
| 14:28, 61:13, | fly [1] - 139:23 | 126:23 | front [14] - | 128:3, 128:27, | generally [3] - |
| 72:9, 75:3, 98:26, | focus [1] - | forward [12] - | 32:24, 38:4, 38:7, | 128:28, 133:13, | 45:24, 116:15, |
| 113:29 | 101:27 | 8:11, 23:16, 52:8, | 38:10, 43:15, | 133:19, 133:28 | 116:21 |
| FITZGERALD | focused [1] - | 56:24, 66:13, | 50:15, 50:26, | 134:3, 134:11, | generate [1] - |
| $[16]-5: 24,14: 14$ | 141:26 | 84:2, 84:29, | $59: 17,63: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:13, 135:11, } \\ & \text { 135:17, 137:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $33: 14$ |
| 14:26, 61:14, | folder [1] - 99:29 | 88:20, 109:15, | 64:18, 65:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:17, 137:12, } \\ & \text { 140:15, 140:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { generated [1] - } \\ & 35: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 61: 25,61: 28 \\ & 62: 3,62: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { folio [1] - 12:18 } \\ & \text { follow [2] - } 56: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109: 21,125: 6, \\ & 138: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:24, 120:10, } \\ & 123: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 141:1 | generating |
| 62:27, 63:3, 63:8, | 109:27 | foul [1]-28:18 | frustrated [1] | funds [1] - 28:22 | 136:24 |
| 75:4, 75:23, | followed [2] - | fouling [15] - | 32:15 | funeral [2] - | generation [1] - |
| 98:27, 99:13, | 104:21, 115:27 | 27:10, 27:14, | frustrating [2] - | 3:26, 71:27 | 33:29 |
| 99:17 | following [2] - | 27:18, 27:21, | $95: 28,104: 5$ | funerals [2] - <br> 64:6, 67:5 | generations [1] |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { five }[16]-5: 4, \\ 38: 8,62: 6,62: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 56:17, } 78: 17 \\ & \text { foot [2] - } 55: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 27,27: 29 \\ & 28: 1,28: 7,28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { full }[20]-8: 27, \\ 15: 13,18: 22 \end{gathered}$ | 64:6, 67:5 <br> fuschia [1] - | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-113: 14 \\ \text { gentleman } \end{array}$ |
| 62:22, 62:23, | 128:18 | $28: 24,29: 3,46: 9$ | 20:2, 20:8, 25:5, | 139:24 | 54:14 |
| 75:8, 78:19, | footpaths [7] - | 46:24, 47:11, | 25:10, 38:7, | future [13] | geographical [1] |
| 91:13, 103:24, | 27:11, 27:20, | 48:3 | 44:23, 45:6, | 12:3, 32:20, 37:6, | -62:23 |
| 110:8, 123:18, | 27:22, 28:6, | Fouling | 53:17, 54:13, | 41:17, 59:22, | Geraldine [1] - |
| 126:29, 132:18, | 46:24, 47:11, | 27:28 | 55:20, 57:25, | 66:6, 90:4, 92:10, | 14:13 |
| 132:19, 133:3 | 48:3 | four [26]-3:10, | 59:11, 66:15, | 93:29, 94:10, | Gerry [1] - 11:19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { flag [1] - 139:24 } \\ & \text { flaw [1]-44:4 } \end{aligned}$ | footstone [1] 63.19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:22, 27:28, } \\ & 30: 15,62: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 15,118: 18 \\ & 132: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 1,142: 12, \\ & 142: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { given [19] - 19:8, } \\ & \text { 19:10. } 20: 25 . \end{aligned}$ |
| flesh [1] - 24:27 | forbi | 83:19, 84:23, | full-time [2] |  | 22:16, 22:17, |
| flip [1] - 88:27 | 130:7 | 90:5, 94:27, 95:7, | 54:13, 57:25 | G | $22: 27,26: 5$ |
| Flood [12] - | force [1] - 9:14 | 95:24, 95:25, | $\text { fully }[7]-23: 17$ |  | 26:10, 26:25, |
| 114:20, 115:7, | forces [1] - | 96:8, 104:7, | 56:23, 114:3, |  | 43:10, 44:2, 44:5, |
| 115:11, 115:18, | 139:25 | 104:20, 104:26, | 123:10, 127:14, | Gael/Labour [1] | $44: 14,50: 25$ |
| 115:24, 118:11, | foremost [3] - | 105:5, 105:8, | $130: 24,132: 15$ | - 78:8 | 84:12, 88:14, |
| $118: 12,118: 22$, $119.1,119: 4$ | 27:3, 52:16, | 105:10, 109:18, | function [11] - |  | 108:13, 130:16, |
| $119: 1,119: 4$, $124: 19,132: 26$ | 59:24 | 115:24, 115:28, | 24:20, 33:12, | 123:9, 130:24, | 130:29 |
| 124:19, 132:26 | forget [1] - 71:29 | 116:1, 123:8, | 33:13, 33:15, | $131: 11$ | Gleann [1] - |



| 92:17, 92:18, | 63:9, 66:1 | included [13] - | 84:26, 89:10, | 134:16 | 13:26, 15:6, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92:23, 92:26, | imagining [1] - | 50:14, 59:19, | 91:21, 91:29, | interest [6] - | $16: 26,30: 17$ |
| 93:7, 94:11, | 55:4 | 85:9, 86:6, 90:8, | 96:23 | 10:4, 14:4, 14:12, | 30:23, 31:14, |
| 94:12, 95:13, | imbalance [5] - | 98:19, 106:12, | information [21] | 22:29, 23:21, | 32:21, 36:26, |
| 95:21, 97:1, 97:2, | 35:5, 35:16, | 124:22, 125:1 | -4:29, 15:15, | 89:14 | 38:8, 38:14, 39:9, |
| 97:10, 97:13, | 35:23, 35:25, | 135:12, 138:4 | 37:10, 40:16, | interested [2] - | 39:15, 39:16, |
| 99:22, 100:13, | 35:26 | 140:3, 140:7 | 43:1, 47:24, 48:5, | 75:18, 123:27 | 47:10, 50:23, |
| 100:24, 100:27, | impacting [1] - | includes [1] - | 65:4, 79:22, 81:9, | interesting [4] - | 62:2, 64:28, |
| 101:3, 101:5, | 9:21 | $84: 1$ | 81:12, 96:20, | 18:13, 38:5, | 65:14, 71:10, |
| 101:6, 101:16, | impediments [2] | including [2] | 102:22, 115:8, | 38:15, 70:12 | 71:15, 71:25, |
| 101:20, 101:28, | $-81: 22,85: 5$ | 9:22, 123:24 | 115:21, 123:11, | interfere [1] - | 73:20, 76:1, 76:2, |
| 102:1, 103:23, | implemented [1] | inclusion [1] | 124:11, 132:6, | $21: 17$ | 76:20, 86:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:29, 106:24, } \\ & \text { 106:28. 107:4 } \end{aligned}$ | - 57:8 | $92: 23$ | $137: 19,142: 5$ | interfered [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:28, 97:28, } \\ & \text { 100:27. 104:22. } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:28, 107:4, } \\ & \text { 107:6, 108:2, } \end{aligned}$ | implications [1] | income [4] - | informed [1] - | $120: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:27, 104:22, } \\ & \text { 107:3, 109:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 122:21, 129:23, | importance [1] - | 93:1, 93:9, 135:8, 136:24 | infrastructura | $\begin{gathered} \text { interim [2] - } \\ \text { 125:26, } 125: 29 \end{gathered}$ | 112:22, 112:25, |
| 129:27, 130:2, | 31:9 | incomes [1] | [2]-31:24, 38:17 | internal [1] - | 113:24, 113:25, |
| 130:22, 140:2, | important [11] - | 92:20 | infrastructure | 13:21 | 120:9, 120:11, |
| 140:3, 140:12, | 22:12, 46:20 | inconsistencie | [3]-26:3, 87:29, | interru | 123:23, 124:1, |
| $140: 16,140: 20$ <br> Housing [9] - | $46: 21,60: 10$ | $\mathbf{s}[1]-16: 22$ | $92: 19$ | $11: 18$ | 140:12 |
| Housing [9] - $77: 26,78: 1,78: 4$ | 63:11, 75:14, | incorporate [2] - | inhibit [1] - 8:27 | interrupted [1] - | issued [2] - |
| $78: 6,78: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 19,99: 24 \\ & 124: 6,137: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:21, 103:20 } \\ & \text { incorporated [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inhouse [2] - } \\ & \text { 82:26, 95:29 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:18 } \\ & \text { intrinsically [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | issues [28] - |
| 78:16, 78:26, | impossible [2] - | - 59:17 | initial [1] - 80:12 | 46:8 | 11:20, 13:22, |
| $\text { 104:12, } 133: 18$ <br> huge [11] - | $68: 26,69: 1$ | incorrect [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { initiation [1] - } \\ & 96: 5 \end{aligned}$ | introduce [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:17, 18:2, 18:4, } \\ & \text { 18:15, 24:11, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { huge [11] - } \\ \text { 29:22, 32:4, } \end{gathered}$ | impression [3] - | $59: 29,60: 2$ | 96:5 | $64: 4,64: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 15,24: 11, \\ & 24: 14,27: 7,30: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 40:13, 45:26, | im | 28:11, | 84:2 | 27:5, | 31:24, 31:26, |
| 46:3, 54:6, 74:13, | 78:23, 84:15, | 79:18, 94:13 | injur | introduction [1] | $36: 8,38: 7,45: 22$ |
| 74:15, 107:6, | 86:13, 86:14 | 98:5, 101:5, | 10:17 | - 22:14 | 45:26, 70:21 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 123:29, 124:5 } \\ \text { hugely [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $105: 15,124: 27$ | 135:21, 137:10, | nner [1] - 23:10 | investigated [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 26,71: 3, \\ & 76: 14,81: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 95:28 | 121:3 | incre | 105:6 | investigation | 89:28, 91:1, |
| Hughes [1] - 4:4 | in-fill [1] - 102:6 | 57:15, 79:25 | input [2]-34:21, | $[16]-6: 12,6: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 20,108: 29, \\ & 112 \cdot 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| human [1] - | inappropriate | 135:15, 135:18 | 50:9 | 8:8, 8:17, 8:22, | 113:20, 124:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:17 } \\ & \text { hundred }[5] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 24:7 <br> inaudible [16] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { increasing [1] - } \\ & \text { 100:28 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inquired [1] - } \\ & 15: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 24,8: 28,9: 5, \\ & 9: 13,9: 21,10: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 126:21 <br> issuing [1] - |
| 63:29, 83:14, | 78:7, 84:4, 84:11, | incremental [1] - | insta | $75: 7,75: 17$ | 47:1 |
| 98:6, 111:27, | 86:18, 99:6, | 134:22 | 111:18 | $114: 2,114: 11$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Item [9]-4:1 } \\ \text { 12:11, 12:24 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 127:23 | 99:15, 111:14, | independent [1] | instance [15] - | invitation [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 11,12: 24, \\ & 49: 28,59: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { hundreds [1] - } \\ & 53: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 115:17, 115:26, | - 129:13 | 8:2, 16:27, 17:2, | 142:7 | 49:28, 59:19, |
| $53: 16$ | 116:11, 116:18, | indicated [4] - | 19:28, 51:17, | invite [1] - 142:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 6,133: 9, \\ & 135: 27 \quad 139: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { hÉireann [1] - } \\ & \text { 139:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 121:28, 134:17, 138:23. 139:27. | 80:13, 102:27, | $51: 23,57: 25$ | invited [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:27, 139:13 } \\ & \text { item }[14]-12: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:23, 139:27, } \\ & \text { 141:7 } \end{aligned}$ | $109: 16,117: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 4,62: 24 \\ & 62: 25,85: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $137: 15$ | 14:3, 14:11, |
| I | inaudible) [1] - | $47: 9$ | $86: 8,93: 13$ | $3: 20,9: 11,10: 21,$ | $14: 21,15: 2$ |
|  | $115: 2$ | indicators [10] - | $107: 20,131: 1$ | $22: 19,49: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:22, 22:12, } \\ & 32: 23,41: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| ID | ch [1] - 77:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 134: 2,134: 1 \\ & 134: 17,135: \end{aligned}$ | $63: 9,72: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { involves [1] } \\ & 133: 26 \end{aligned}$ | 48:6, 49:28, |
| idea [3] - 45:17, | incident [2] - | 135:26, 136:19, | instruction [1] - | Ireland [4] | $77: 25,114: 19$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 72: 9,124: 22 \\ & \text { ideal [1] - 22:26 } \end{aligned}$ | $8: 17,131: 6$ | 136:23, 136:28, | 117:1 | 11:4, 78:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:15 } \\ & \text { items }[17]-13: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| identified [6] - | incidents [1] - | $\text { 138:6, } 138: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { insurance [1] - } \\ & 119: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $78: 19,104: 10$ | 21:21, 21:23, |
| 82:5, 127:22, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:3 } \\ \text { incl } \end{gathered}$ | $52: 7,57: 29$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 119: } \\ \text { ins } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irish [7]-10:29, } \\ & \text { 11:8, 87:27, } \end{aligned}$ | $22: 2,22: 8,23: 8 \text {, }$ |
| 127:29, 129:8, | $65: 16,90: 2$ | 136:5 | 125:17 | 87:29, 89:25 | 48:8, 48:13, |
| 130:3 identifying | 93:13, 93:16, | individuals [1] - | intend [1] | 94:24, 103:27 | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 26,49: 12, \\ & 61: 15,61: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 138:9 | 94:10, 108:8, | 112:5 | 68:17 | IS [1] - 127:14 | 61:15, 61:16, $74: 5,126: 6$ |
| ignore [1] - | 108:11, 117:27, | inefficient [1] - | intended [1] - | islands [1] - | 132:9, 133:6, |
| 130:28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:25, 125:7, } \\ & 125: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 120:10 | 68:9 | 128:9 | 139:12 |


| self [6]-25:22 |  | 14:4, 30:28, 56:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 19,74: 9,79: 2, \\ & 79: 7,79: 26,81: 7, \\ & \text { 89:24, 99:1, } \\ & \text { 101:15, 108:19, } \\ & \text { 111:8, 112:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { least }[7]-33: 22, \\ & \text { 68:1, 69:27, } \\ & 75: 23,95: 11, \\ & \text { 113:4, 130:23 } \\ & \text { leave }[5]-17: 9, \\ & \text { 47:13, 62:29, } \\ & \text { 137:12 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lifetime }[3] \text { - } \\ & \text { 43:8, } 63: 16, \\ & \text { 119:29 } \\ & \text { Liffey }[1]-119: 1 \\ & \text { light }[2]-40: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59:13, 124:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 123: 25 \\ & \text { kept }[1]-73: 22 \\ & \text { kerbing }[6]- \\ & 62: 5,62: 7,62: 8, \\ & 62: 9,62: 14,63: 3 \\ & \text { key }[3]-70: 5, \end{aligned}$ | knows [1] - |  |  |  |
| 4:24, 124:27 |  | 24:1 |  |  |  |
| 127:17 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | L |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { leave [5] - 17:9, } \\ & 47: 13,62: 29, \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:6 } \\ & \text { likely [6] - 5:1, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Leaving [1] - |  |
| job [6]-53:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 8,134: 16 \\ & \text { keys }[1]-83: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $32: 12,62: 10$ | 139:2 |  | 80:27, 82:1, |
|  |  |  | late [1]-114:27 |  |  |
| 57 | kicks [1] - 135:4 | 68:25 | latest [2] - 84:8 | leaving [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:20, 117:11, } \\ & \text { 117:25 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 101 | Kilbride [3] - | lady [1] - 69:8 | 111:29 <br> Lathaleere [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 141:15 } \\ & \text { led [2] - 56:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { likewise [1] - } \\ & 73: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| [1]-14:6 | 87:5, 90:26108:24 | laid [1] - 68:15 <br> land [40]-12:17, |  |  |  |
| [1] - 14:6 |  | 12:25, 12:26, | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lathaleere [2] - } \\ \text { 128:16, 128:17 } \end{gathered}$ | $57: 2$ | Lily [1] - 4:5 |
| Johnson | Kilcoole [9] |  | launched [2] - | left [6]-25:2 |  |
| 115:17, 115:21 | 12:19, 12:20 | 13:21, 14:22 |  | 55:14, 59:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 79:21, } 79: 24 \\ & \text { line }[4]-83: 28, \end{aligned}$ |
| $y[12]-4: 16$, | 87:13, 103:6 | 80:25, 80:26 | laurels [1] |  |  |
| 49:19, 49:23, $50: 4,50 \cdot 7,95.2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119: 25,125: 12, \\ & 125: 13,125: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 81:4, 81:6, 81:13, | 100:1 | 113:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 6,128: 22, \\ & 134: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| 50:4, 50:7, $95: 2$, $110 \cdot 21,133.8$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 3,82: 11, \\ & 82 \cdot 16 ~ 86 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | law [5] - 4: | legal [3] - 13:5, |  |
| $110: 21,133: 8$, $137 \cdot 21,139 \cdot 18$ | 125:19 | 82:16, 86:19 | $13: 15,13: 18$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:29 } \\ & \text { lines }[3]-53: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| 137:21, 139:18, | Kildare [3] | 93:12, 93:13 |  | length [2] - | 64:1, 138:2 |
| 139:24 | 16:24, 42:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:15, 93:17, } \\ & 94: 5,94: 6,94: 9, \end{aligned}$ | LAWLESS [6] - | 13:14, 52:12 | linked [1] - 46:8 <br> List [8] - 85:13, |
| [1] - 94:28 |  |  | 26:8, 93:25, | less [8]-24:14, |  |
| June [7]-44:15, | 107:14 <br> Kilkenny [1] | 96:22, 96:24, 96:25, 98:3, 98:4 |  | 66:10, 74:11, | List [8] - 85:13, |
| 45:2, 50:6, 77:16, | 129:24 <br> KILKENNY [12] - |  | 138:17, 139:14 | 89:10, 89:12, | $90: 15,98: 18$ |
| 77:20, 79:3, |  | 96:25, 98:3, 98:4, 98:5, 98:8, | Lawless [5] - |  | 124:19 |
| 18:28 | 77:27, 102:3, | 102:22, 103:3 | 12:22, 26:7, | 117:25 | list [21] - 19:7, |
| tice [1] - 8:26 | 103:5, 103:17 | 103:5, 106:18 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:7, 80:10, } \\ & \text { 80:15, 82:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
| Jus |  | 106:20, 107:9 | 110:2 | letters [1] - 62:7 |  |
| 3:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:7, 108:23, } \\ & \text { 109:11, 109:13, } \\ & \text { 110:1, 110:3 } \\ & \text { kill [2] - 56:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:29 } \\ & \quad \text { Land }[1]-81: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 110. |  | 84:21, 85:1, |
|  |  |  | 52:25, 52:27 | level [42]-15: | 85:10, 93:4, |
|  |  |  | 54:3, 54:9, 55:26, | 22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:13, 98:11, } \\ & \text { 100:25, 101:28 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Kavanagh [3] - | 56:18 | 79:23 | 58:10, 58:12, | $23: 9,29: 10$ | 102:1, 102:20, |
|  | Killarney [1] - | lands [4] - $87: 18,93 \cdot 14$ | 59:4, 59:1 | 29:23, 30:10, | 106:12, 116:8 |
| 55:2, | 68:13 <br> killers [1] - 56:5 <br> Kilmacanogue | $101: 23,130: 12$ | 59:20, 59:21, | 31:27, 32:2, | $140: 2,140: 4$ |
| KAVANAG |  |  | 60:1, 61:18, 62:2, | 32:21, 34:9 |  |
| - 30:13, 55:3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 56:9 } \\ & \text { Lane }[6]-87: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 3,63: 14, \\ & 63: 18,63: 27, \end{aligned}$ | 40:14, 41:6, 44:3, | 89:8, 100:6, |
| eane [3] | [3] - 12:26, 12:27, |  |  | 45:12, 45:15, |  |
| 122:13, 123:3, | 13:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane [6]-87:5, } \\ & \text { 88:15, 90:26, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:2, 64:4, 64:20, } \\ & 66: 15,66: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 22,56: 28 \\ & 56: 29,80: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 131:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kilmountain }[1] \\ & -87: 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 117:26, 117:27 |
| keen [2] - 91:2 |  |  | 67:6, 67:21, 68:8, | $81: 12,81: 2$ | listened [1] - |
| 109:22 | Kilmurry [1] |  | 68:15, 68:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85:2, 91:9, 93:1, } \\ & \text { 101:2, 104:28, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| keep [7] - 17:11, | 72:17 <br> kilometre [1] - |  | 69:2, 69:12 |  | listening ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 26:19, 69:1, 69:2 |  |  | 69:15, 69:2 | 107:23, 125:7 | 70:12 |
| 71:7, 98:7, 131:3 | 119:17 kind [9] - 20:6, 25:1, 31:7, 31:11 | $108 \cdot 25$ | 69:28, 70:2, 70:4 | 125:28, 128: | listing [1] |
| keeping [2] - |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:25 } \\ & \text { large }[3]-31: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $71: 16,71: 23$,$72: 3,74: 3,74: 6$, | 128:21, 130:23, | 112:24 |
| 67:28, 92:20 |  | large [3]-31.14, |  |  | lists [1] - 1 |
| Kelly [3] - 78:8, | $76: 20,138: 18$ | 6, 134:1 | :9, 75:11 | 131:2, 134:2 levels [2] - | literally [1] - |
| 79:15, 87:15 |  | 134:20 | $76: 11,76: 16$ |  | 46:28 |
| Kennedy [4] - | knocked [2] - |  |  | 34:11, 93:9 | live [5] - 8:16, |
| 50:20, 58:19, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 40:19, 95:12 } \\ \text { Knockfin [1] } \end{gathered}$ | 3 | Lawns [2]- | LGMA [1] | 119:28 |
| 96:12, 109:25 |  |  |  | 133 |  |
| NNEDY [7] - | $14: 23$ <br> knowing [1] | last [33]-3:26, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:23, 131:1 } \\ & \text { lead }[3]-16: 22, \end{aligned}$ | Library [1] | lived [1] - 92:22 |
| 50:21, 96:13, |  |  |  | 86:29 | lives [1] - 123:14 |
| 99:11, 106:8, | 9:13 | $6: 5,9: 22,15: 1$ | 115:5, 129:5 | Lidl [1] - 99:12 | living [5] - 36:2, |
| 109:26, 110:2, |  |  |  | - | 108:14, 119:1 |
| $110: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:18, 32:5 } \\ & \text { knowledgeable } \\ & {[1]-96: 19} \\ & \text { known }[4]-3: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:21, 108:15 } \\ & \text { lease }[1]-7: 11 \\ & \text { leasehold }[1]- \\ & 12: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Life }[1]-56: 5 \\ & \text { lifestyle }[1]- \\ & \text { 108:14 } \end{aligned}$ | 120:15, 120:1 |
| Keogh |  |  |  |  | load [1] - 24:26 |
| 122:11, 130:15, |  |  |  |  | Loaf [1]-87:14 |
| 131:22, 131:26 |  |  |  |  | Local [36]-15:4, |


| 15:19, 16:2, | 17:28, 19:6, 23:1, | 107:15 | 36:23, 37:16 | 44:1, 44:10, | $45: 20,49: 5,54: 7,$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:12, 17:11, | 27:8, 29:9, 33:21, | love [3]-39:19, | major [4] - | 44:25, 65:17, | 62:1, 62:4, 65:7, |
| 20:27, 22:4, | 65:9, 77:21, | 40:11, 71:19 | 31:24, 38:17, | 77:11, 113:10, | 71:16, 73:5, |
| 24:12, 24:17, | 135:3 | loved [7]-3:27, | 53:27, 101:29 | 123:14, 129:23, | 75:28, 77:15, |
| 25:12, 26:16, | locations [1] - | 60:25, 60:29, | majority [4] - | 137:14 | 128:2, 139:26 |
| 26:19, 31:12, | 91:22 | 67:22, 71:22, | 15:27, 41:6, | matters [5] - | meaning [1] - |
| 31:19, 36:10, | logic [1] - | 72:17, 73:11 | 100:21, 111:21 | 13:6, 13:16, | 140:29 |
| 36:13, 41:12, | 121:11 | low [10]-76:16, | man [2]-6:12, | 13:18, 57:29, | means [8] - |
| 42:27, 43:7, | look [33] - 6:7, | 81:26, 81:28, | 8:5 | 75:24 | 39:29, 58:22, |
| 45:29, 48:22, | 23:21, 24:27, | 84:27, 85:4, | Management | Mathew [3] - | 82:25, 83:29, |
| 56:26, 69:24, | 30:2, 35:15, | 92:20, 116:18, | [11]-114:20, | 14:2, 29:7, 110:9 | 105:12, 117:15, |
| 70:9, 70:10, | 35:16, 41:20, | 116:23, 124:13, | 115:5, 115:8, | Matthews [13] - | 117:17, 128:24 |
| 70:13, 70:19, | 43:24, 46:20, | 127:21 | 115:11, 115:24, | 4:12, 15:7, 21:7, | meant [1] - 35:3 |
| 79:14, 90:14, | 47:12, 48:24, | lower [4] - | 118:11, 118:23, | 24:3, 29:27, | meantime [1] - |
| 118:17, 118:21, | 53:23, 53:26, | 75:29, 76:11 | 119:1, 119:4, | 30:24, 34:5, | 10:11 |
| 120:7, 132:2, | 54:27, 55:8, | 124:20 | 124:19, 132:26 | 44:12, 95:15, | measurable [1] - |
| 133:18, 134:26, | 63:20, 63:21, | LPT [1] - 138:4 | management | 104:5, 121:20, | 135:25 |
| 139:21 | 63:22, 72:26, | LTAC [1] - 94:6 | [10]-5:17, 5:21, | 127:9, 132:14 | measures [1] - |
| local [90] - | 76:3, 82:16, 83:1, | lucky [1] - 64:6 | 13:21, 34:21, | MATTHEWS ${ }_{\text {[8] }}$ | 116:2 |
| 15:10, 16:17, | 83:25, 86:4, |  | 43:10, 49:10, | - $4: 14,5: 8,24: 4$, | mechanism [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:18, 16:21, } \\ & \text { 16:25, 17:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:10, 95:9, } \\ & 112: 22.117 \end{aligned}$ | M | 53:29, 113:2, | $44: 13,95: 16$ | $31: 9,127: 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:24, 18:10, } \\ & \text { 18:15, 18:17 } \end{aligned}$ | 124:21, 125:3, |  | manager [4] - | 121:21 | - 85:15, 85:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:15, 18:17, } \\ & \text { 18:23, 19:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:16, 138:16, } \\ & 141 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | mailed [2] - | 22:18, 46:22, | maximum [3] - | 85:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:23, 19:12, } \\ & \text { 19:25, 22:26, } \end{aligned}$ | 141:19 looked [7] | $44: 15,118: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:10, 131:10 } \\ & \text { manager's [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 14,82: 16, \\ & 105: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { media [1] - } \\ & 86: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 26:1, 26:12, | 35:4, 35:29, | main [24]-3:13, | 18:3, 140:8 | May) [1] - 15:5 | medium [1] - |
| 26:14, 27:1, | 42:12, 81:23, | 15:26, 15:29, | Manorkilbride | McDonald [6] - | 81:25 |
| 27:25, 29:23, | 82:11, 97:10, | 16:5, 16:6, 17:2, | [1] - 59:10 | $5: 9,53: 24,57: 13$ | meet [2] - 95:20, |
| 30:10, 31:7, | 106:10 | 17:4, 20:28, 21:6, | $\operatorname{map}[1]-119: 9$ | 94:17, 103:26, | $116: 14$ |
| 31:26, 31:27, | looking [35] - | 21:29, 25:25, | Map [2] - | 110:29 | MEETING [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32: 2,32: 4,32: 13 \\ & 32: 16,32: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 13:21, 13:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 17,31: 20, \\ & 31: 26.38: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $122: 16,122: 19$ | MCDONALD [6] | 142:22 |
| 32:16, 32:21, | 16:28, 21:8, | 31:26, 38:26, 38:29. 41:22. | Marc [4] - 119:8, | - 5:10, 53:25, | meeting [42] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 11,33: 20, \\ & 33: 23.34: 7 . \end{aligned}$ | 34:13, 35:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 29,41: 22, \\ & 41: 25,52: 24, \end{aligned}$ | 119:22, 121:12, | 57:23, 75:22, | 12:12, 15:5, |
| $33: 23,34: 7$, $37: 25,38: 9$, | 36:8, 54:24, 58:6, | 41:25, 52:24, <br> 62:1, 74:2, 80:2 | 133:9 | 94:18, 111:1 | 15:13, 17:2, 17:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 37: 25,38: 9 \\ & 38: 22,39: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 24,82: 12, \\ & 85: 9,85: 15,8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 1,74: 2,80: 2 \\ & 99: 14,134: 12 \end{aligned}$ | March [2] - | McDonald's [1] - | 17:20, 17:21, |
| 39:18, 39:28, | $88: 15,89: 6,95: 4$ | maintain [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:4, 118:17 } \\ & \text { Marian [1] - } 4: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:9 } \\ & \text { McGovern [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:23, 17:24, } \\ & \text { 17:25, 20:28, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $40: 14,41: 6$ | 95:13, 98:4, | $68: 27,71: 23,$ | Mario [1] - 123:9 | 122:17 | 21:13, 23:27, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45: 12,53: 13 \\ & 53: 17,53: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 100:2, 102:15, | 73:1 main | mark [1] - 98:3 | McLoughlin [3] - | $28: 11,34: 28$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53: 17,53: 21, \\ & 65: 10,65: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103: 6,103: 8 \\ & 103: 22,106: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 54:28, 71:18, | markers [1] - | 25:15, 36:16, | $35: 20,40: 25,$ |
| 66:2, 69:15, | 106:27, 107:17, | 71:24, 72:18, | 131:6 market [2] - | MCLOUGHLIN | 49:19, 49:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:16, 70:21, } \\ & 70: 26,71: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:26, 112:16, } \\ & \text { 112:20, 113:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 98:22 } \\ & \text { maint } \end{aligned}$ | 101:11, 102:11 | [7]-25:16, 49:24, | 50:26, 59:27, |
| 71:27, 72:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 77:5 | mass [1]-69:29 | 73:4, 138:14, | 61:19, 67:10, |
| 74:2, 74:6, 80:15, | $120: 26,141: 16$ | maintenance | massacre [3]- | $\begin{aligned} & 138: 18,138: 20 \\ & 138: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 24,75: 24, \\ & 75: 25,77: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| 85:14, 86:18, | looks [1] - 70:6 | [25] - 52:29, 53:7, | massive [3] - | McLoughlin's | $77: 21,80: 28$ |
| 91:3, 95:18, | lord [1] - 69:8 | 54:1, 54:17, | $74: 16,120: 11$ | [1] - 38:1 | 106:9, 118:27, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:28, 96:10, } \\ & \text { 102:6, 116:14, } \end{aligned}$ | Lorraine [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 25,55: 6, \\ & 57: 14,57: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 131:2 | MD [1] - 58:12 | 118:28, 123:10, |
| 116:16, 130:6, | 110:16 losing [2] | 57:21, 58:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { masters [1] - } \\ & \text { 109:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MDs [2] - 59:16, } \\ & \text { 59:19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:9, 132:13, } \\ & \text { 137:27, 139:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 130:7, 133:13, $133: 19,133: 20$ | 108:20, 140:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 25,68: 26, \\ & 70: 5,70: 6,71 \end{aligned}$ | masts [1] - | mean [31] - 6:4, | 141:23, 142:4, |
| 133:27, 133:29, | lost [5]-8:6, $33 \cdot 13,33 \cdot 15$, | $72: 20,75: 29,$ | 115:6 | 6:7, 6:11, 10:11, | 142:15 |
| 134:3, 134:5, | $33: 13,3$ $33: 16,1$ | 76:6, 76:11, | matter [24]-6:2, | $10: 15,10: 16$ | Meeting [1] - |
| 134:13, 134:24, | Lott [2]-103:6, | 76:12, 76:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 25,8: 12,8: 19, \\ & 8: 28,9: 20,9: 26, \end{aligned}$ | 19:13, 29:21, | meetings [8] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:26, 135:20, } \\ & \text { 135:25, 137:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $106: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 16,76: 29, \\ & 77: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 10:18, 12:2, | 33:19, 33:24, | 18:1, 18:7, 51:13, |
| $137: 7,140: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { loud [1] - 22:1 } \\ & \text { Louth [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | maith [6] - 3:23, | 23:25, 25:23, <br> 36:27, 37:8, 41:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 25,34: 2,37: 9 \\ & 39: 7,39: 8,45: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 9,106: 21, \\ & 115: 1,129: 21 \end{aligned}$ |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125:4, 128:1, | 37:2, 37:3, 50:26, | 44:21, 45:23, | 46:25, 46:26 | 4:4, 119:26 | phase [4] - |
| 129:3, 129:13, | 52:7, 52:13, | 48:9, 80:26 | 47:4, 91:13 | 120:14, 139:2 | 118:10, 124:25, |
| 129:17, 129:20 | 52:21, 53:18, | 81:19, 83:4, 86:4, | 110:8, 128:16 | 139:29, 141:14 | 127:10, 129:2 |
| OPWs [1] - | 64:11, 71:4, 73:1, | 86:14, 87:16, | 132:18, 132:19 | peoples [2] - | Phases [1] - |
| $124: 12$ orange [1] - | 73:23, 74:26, | 101:3, 107:3, | Pat [5] - 11:18, | 64:5, 64:12 | 87:14 |
|  | $76: 8,76: 28,77: 3,$ | $127: 12,127: 15$ | $14: 28,63: 7,79: 3$ | peppered [1] - | physical [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 118: 10 \\ & \text { order }[4]-11: 12, \\ & 30: 25,30: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 4,77: 12 \\ & 82: 18,86: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { part [20] - 15:21, } \\ \text { 29:9, 57:1, 67:21, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 99:16 } \\ \text { path } \end{gathered}$ | 107:7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 136:21 } \\ \text { pick }[1 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 96:26, 101:5, | 67:24, 67:27, | 72:4 | 28:1, 62:12, | picking [3] - |
| 102:4 | 103:4, 112:2, | 68:15, 71:4, 75:4, | pattern [1] - | 69:27, 74:12, | 92:29, 93:3, 93:9 |
| Order [1] - 129:9 orders [8]-4:11, | 118:6, 123:15, | 92:19, 102:27, | 134:21 | 97:22, 101:5, | pictures [1] - |
|  | 123:25, 130:15, | 106:19, 107:4, | Paul [1] - 122:21 | 123:1, 123:3, | 89:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 12,5: 28,7: 24, \\ & 70: 15,70: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 137:15 | 114:21, 121:3, | pay [4]-77:3, | 134:29, 135:2, | piece [1] - 112:1 |
|  | owned [1] - | 124:20, 125:1, | 109:13, 139:2 | 137:28, 139:10 | Pillar [2]-78:27 |
| $\begin{gathered} 70: 26,110: 6 \\ \text { ordinary [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:14 } \\ & \text { owners [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:19, 128:28, } \\ & \text { 135:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paying [1] - } \\ & 66: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { percentage }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 139:7 } \end{aligned}$ | pillar [1]-78:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:12, } 35: 27 \\ & \text { Organisation [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $142: 3,142: 9$ | participation [1] | 66:26 <br> peace [2] - 4:8, | perfect [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pillars [1] - } \\ & 78: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -22: 17 \\ & \text { particular }[36]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:9 } \\ & \text { peculiar }{ }_{[1]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65: 7,65: 23,73: 9 \\ \text { perfectly }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pity [1] - 94:1 } \\ & \text { place [20] }-9: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} -139: 16,139: 20 \\ \text { organisation }[1] \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 17,13: 22,15: 7 \\ & 17: 1,19: 17,21: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 99:5 | $72: 5$ | 15:21, 15:25, |
| $\begin{aligned} & -139: 23 \\ & \quad \text { originally }{ }_{[1]}- \end{aligned}$ | packaged [1] - 29:17 | 21:28, 27:1, | 117:1 | $41: 29,44: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 6,22: 15 \\ & 30: 22,43: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32: 11 \\ & \text { otherwise }[4] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | page [6] - 19:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 19,30: 22, \\ & 32: 3,32: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { people }[93]- \\ 3: 20,7: 14,9: \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { perhaps [8] - } \\ 60: 18,72: 8 \end{gathered}$ | $55: 13,55: 24$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:25, 60:2, } \\ & 92: 21,118: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:19, } 20: 21, \\ & 20 \cdot 24 \\ & \hline 20 \cdot 52 \end{aligned}$ | 32:14, 32:17, | $10: 3,10: 5,10: 9$ | 74:18, 92:15, | $68: 25,69: 8,69: 9,$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|} 92: 21,118: 24 \\ \text { ou [1] }-80: 18 \end{array}$ | pages [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 29,35: 6,41: 9, \\ & 41 \cdot 2351 \cdot 27 \end{aligned}$ | 10:11, 10:12, | 93:1, 93:9, | 73:16, 87:29, |
| ourselves [4] - | 19:21, 19:22 | 65:5, 69:18, | 10:15, 10:16, | 112:28, 126:13 | $98: 24,112: 1$ |
| 17:18, 54:10, | painstaking [1] - | 69:23, 94:25, | 19:16, 20:5, 22:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { period [3] - } \\ \text { 85:29, } 88: 3 \text {, } \end{gathered}$ | 133:21, 141:5 <br> Place [1]-87.9 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 54: 26,118: 16 \\ \text { outdoor [4] - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:18 } \\ & \text { palpable [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 17,101: 27 \\ & \text { 103:11, 106:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 14,27: 12 \\ & 27: 19,27: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:23 } \\ & \text { permissi } \end{aligned}$ | placed [1] - 9:26 |
| 54:5, 141:13, |  | 109:23, 111:4, | 27:26, 28:5, 28:6, | 60:6, 60:28, 95:1 | 59:3 |
| 141:16 outflow [1] - | panel [1] - 109:5 <br> paragraph [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:25, 112:22, } \\ & \text { 112:25, 124:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 14,28: 17, \\ & 28: 23,29: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { permitted [2] - } \\ & 51: 5,58: 24 \end{aligned}$ | places [3]-6:8, |
|  | $19: 23,118: 19$ | $126: 20,141: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 23,29: 24, \\ & 30 \cdot 20 \quad 33: 3 \quad 34: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $51: 5,58: 24$ | 27:11, 36:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:8 } \\ & \text { outline [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | parallel [1] - | particularly [10] | $35: 17,35: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { perpetrators [1] } \\ & -8: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { plain [14] - } \\ & \text { 119:26, 119:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 113: 3,139: 22 \\ \text { outlined [5] - } \end{array}$ | 133:20 | - 25:19, 26:1, | $36: 2,37: 1,37: 3$ | person [6] - | 119:29, 121:9, |
|  | parents [3] - | 28:16, 29:12, | 39:8, 40:7, 40:11, | 7:21, 20:10, | 121:15, 122:12, |
| 51:21, 79:14, | 4:24, $73: 5,73: 23$ Park [6] - 12:19, | $33: 3,65: 18,80: 2$ | 46:17, 48:2, | 25:26, 26:5, | 122:19, 123:1 |
| 85:19, 124:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Park [6] - 12:19, } \\ & \text { 12:20, 86:29, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 83:24, 91:8, } \\ & 92 \cdot 27 \end{aligned}$ | 48:26, 48:28, | $33: 18,36: 19$ | 123:4, 123:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:26 } \\ & \text { outside [4] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 20,86: 29, \\ & 87: 14,90: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:27 } \\ & \text { parties [5] } \end{aligned}$ | $60: 5,60: 10$ | personal [2] - | 128:18, 128:20, |
|  | $106: 14$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { parties [5] - } \\ \text { 20:7, 30:23, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 23,62: 4,62: 7, \\ & 62: 1262: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $14: 6,112: 5$ | 131:18, 131:21 |
| 58:1, 83:10, | parks [1] - 27:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:7, 30:23, } \\ & 39: 14,39: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 12,62: 14, \\ & 63: 15,64: 5, \end{aligned}$ | personally [2] 23:2, 25:22 | $\text { Plan }[7]-29: 13$ |
| 92:22, 99:1 outweigh [1] | Part [51] - 15:7, | 111:6 | 64:10, 66:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:2, 25:22 } \\ & \text { personnel [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 14,29: 21, \\ & 36: 10,78: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
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